IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

O. John Benisek, et al. *Plaintiffs*, vs.

Case No. 13-cv-3233

Three-Judge Court

Linda H. Lamone, et al., Defendants.

_____<u>¦</u>_____

SECOND JOINT STIPULATION

As anticipated by the parties' joint status report of June 30, 2017, the parties file

this second joint stipulation attaching the declaration of Allan J. Lichtman. This exhibit

is intended to be received into evidence without further proof or testimony.

Dated: June 30, 2017

For the plaintiffs

<u>/s/ Michael B. Kimberly</u>

<u>(signed with permission by Sarah W.</u> <u>Rice)</u>

Michael B. Kimberly, Bar No. 19086 mkimberly@mayerbrown.com
Paul W. Hughes, Bar No. 28967 phughes@mayerbrown.com
Stephen M. Medlock, pro hac vice smedlock@mayerbrown.com
E. Brantley Webb, pro hac vice bwebb@mayerbrown.com
Micah D. Stein, pro hac vice mstein@mayerbrown.com
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 263-3000 (office)
(202) 263-3300 (facsimile)

For the defendants

/s/ Sarah W. Rice

Jennifer L. Katz, Bar No. 28973 jkatz@oag.state.md.us Sarah W. Rice, Bar No. 29113 srice@oag.state.md.us Assistant Attorneys General Office of the Attorney General 200 Saint Paul Place, 20th Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21202 (410) 576-7005 (office) (410) 576-6955 (facsimile)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

										*										
0. J	OHN	N BI	ENIS	EK,	et a	l.,														
										*										
			Pla	intif	fs,															
										*		~			-					
			v.									Case	No.	. 13-	cv-32	233				
										*										
LIN	DA	H. I	LAM	ONE	E, et	al.,														
										*										
			Dej	fende	ants.															
										*										
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Second Supplemental Expert Report of Allan J. Lichtman July 12, 2017

In this second supplemental report I respond to the supplemental declarations of Dr. Peter A. Morrison and Dr. Michael P. McDonald. Nothing in these supplemental declarations of plaintiffs' experts leads me to revise any of the analyses and findings of my prior reports in this litigation.

I. Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Peter A. Morrison

In his supplemental declaration, Dr. Morrison responds to only one of my criticisms of his prior reports. In my first supplemental report of June 2, 2017 I found that Dr. Morrison in his opening report had presented fundamentally flawed data on the percentage of split Census Places in CD6 under the 2001 and 2011 plans. I found that based upon the correction of his data that the difference in split Census Places in prior CD6 under Maryland's 2001 plan compared to splits in CD6 under the state's 2011 plan was not 48 percentage points as Dr. Morrison previously claimed, but a *de minimis* 4 percentage points, a difference of 44 percentage points and 92 percent (44/48). He does not question the serious errors in his prior report, but offers an alleged correction of those errors in his supplemental declaration.

My analysis of Dr. Morrison's new analyses in his supplemental declaration results in the following findings:

• Even accepting at face value Dr. Morrison's alleged corrections, he fails to explain why his new findings still sustain the conclusions of his opening report

• Dr. Morrison does not provide in his supplemental declaration a minor correction of his prior data. Rather, his alleged corrected data differs fundamentally from the prior data on which he has relied.

Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 3 of 38

• Dr. Morrison's alleged corrections create new errors that result in serious flaws in his supplemental declaration and an overstatement of the difference in split Census Places in CD6 under the two plans that he analyzes.

• Dr. Morrison's count of split Census Places under the 2011 plan fails to consider inconsequential splits with either no population or minimal population.

After presenting his alleged corrected data, Dr. Morrison concludes that the difference between split Census Places in the 2001 and 2011 congressional plans is not 48 percentage points, but only 8 percentage points, for a decline of 40 percentage points and 83 percent (40/48).¹ He does not explain why this drastic change in results still sustains his earlier finding of a "smoking gun" result indicative of intentional discrimination. Contrary to standard practice in social science, he provides no analyses of what level of difference in the two plans would be necessary to confirm this earlier conclusion.

As indicated below, Dr. Morrison in his supplemental report simply repeats without qualification or explanation the exact statement he made in his opening report linking differences in split Census Places to intent. The only change is that he now plugs in his new results indicating an 8-percentage point difference in split Census Places between the 2001 and 2011 plan, rather than the 48-percentage point difference from his opening report.

Morrison Opening Expert Report, paragraph 145, page 68

"The post-redistricting increase in non-intact Census places (from 11% to 59% of all places) is a "smoking gun" that exposes motives beyond simply rebalancing total population."

Morrison Opening Expert Report, paragraph 9, page 3

"The post-redistricting increase in non-intact census places (from 3% to 11% of all places) is a "smoking gun" that exposes motives beyond simply rebalancing the total population."

In addition, both statements falsely presume that the only legitimate motivation for a new redistricting plan is "rebalancing the total population," when many other considerations enter into the creation of any redistricting plan. For example, Dr. Morrison indicated in his Fletcher Declaration that one legitimate consideration would be the creation of communities of interest based on patterns of commuting and transportation.² The Fletcher Plan that Dr. Morrison defends does not simply "rebalance population," but drastically alters the configuration of Maryland's 2001 districts.

Dr. Morrison does not present minor corrections of his opening report in his supplemental declaration. Rather, as demonstrated in Table 1 (all tables are included in the

¹ Unnumbered Table on page 2 of Dr. Morrison's Supplemental Declaration.

² Morrison Declaration, *Fletcher v. Lamone*, 11-cv-03220 (D. Md. Dec. 7, 2011) (ECF No. 43-18).

Appendix to this report, below), the new data he presents on Census Places in CD6 under the 2001 and 2011 state congressional plans differs by many orders of magnitude from the data on which he relied for his conclusion in his opening report. As indicated in Table 1, in his supplemental declaration, Morrison identified 135 Census Places as falling wholly or partially within CD6 under the 2001 plan, compared to 35 Census Places that he identified in his opening report. This amounts to additional 100 Census Places, for *an increase of 286 percent*. In his supplemental declaration, Morrison identified 122 Census Places as falling wholly or partially within CD6 under the 2011 plan, compared to 22 Census Places that he identified in his opening report. This amounts again to an additional 100 Census Places, but it results in *a much larger percentage increase of 455 percent*.

As indicated in Table 2, these corrections account for the reduction in the difference in split Census Places under the two plans from 48 percentage points in his opening report to 8 percentage points in his supplemental declaration. His 286-percent correction in the number of Census Places in DC6 under the 2001 plan reduces the percentage of split precincts from 11 percent to 3 percent. His much larger 455 percent correction in the number of Census Places in CD6 under the 2011 plan, results in far more substantial reduction in the percentage of split Census Places *from 59 percent to 11 percent*. These two corrections explain the reduction in the difference in split Census Places from 48 percent to just 8 percent.

Dr. Morrison purports to have presented in his supplemental report the correct number of Census Places in CD6 under the two plans. Consistent with his opening report, however, he provides no citations or sources for his corrected counts. These counts are still erroneous based on the U.S. Census data that lists the number of Census Places in Maryland and identifies the congressional districts in which they are wholly or partially contained under the 2001 and 2011 Maryland congressional plans.

As indicated in the Table in his supplemental declaration, Dr. Morrison identified 135 Census Places as within CD6 under the 2001 plan, compared to the 75 according to Census data. Dr. Morrison does not identify the source of the data, but he purports to use data from the 111th Congress while the 108th Congress is the data available from the Census on its public website. Therefore, the source of his data for the 111th Congress is unclear and it is also unclear whether he is using the definitions of census designated places under the 2000 Census or whether he is using definitions of census designated places that would be used in the 2010 Census. The boundaries of census designated places change over time. See U.S. Census Bureau, "Geographic Boundary Change Notes, Maryland," https://www.census.gov/geo/reference/bndrychange/changenotedisplay.php (last accessed July 11, 2017). The 108th Congress is therefore the appropriate comparator as the version of the data that is publicly available, it contains the congressional district boundaries for the 2001 plan, and the census designated place definitions closest in time to the ones that would have been known to the 2001 map-drawers. Data from a later congress that Dr. Morrison purports to use may not match the Census Places at the time of the redistricting and therefore is misleading.

By using non-public information related to the 111th Congress without specifying the date of the census designated place definitions in his supplemental declaration, Dr. Morrison identified 135 Census places as within CD6, compared to 75 according to Census data. He thus overstates the number of 2001 Census Places in CD6 by 60, for *an increase of 80 percent*. In his supplemental declaration, Morrison identified 122 Census Places as within CD6 under the 2011 plan, compared to 148 according to Census data. Thus, for the 2011 plan he understates not overstates the number of Census Places by 26, *for a decrease of 18 percent*.

As indicated in Table 4, Dr. Morrison's *overstatement* of the number of Census Places in CD6 under the 2001 plan in his supplemental declaration, results in an *understatement* of the percentage of split Census Places of 3 percent, compared to a corrected 5 percent, for *an increase of 2 percent*. In contrast, Dr. Morrison's *understatement* of the number of Census Places in CD6 under the 2011 plan in his supplemental declaration, results in an *overstatement* of the percentage of split Census Places of 11 percent, compared to a corrected 9 percent, for *a decrease of 2 percent*. Thus, his opposite and compounding errors result in an overstatement of the difference in split precincts of 8 percentage points, compared to a correct de minimis difference of just 4 percentage points, the percentage difference that I previously calculated and report on page 3 of my June 2, 2017 supplemental report.

I have included as an addendum to this report a download of the U.S. Census reports on the Maryland Census Places identified with the congressional districts in the 2001 and 2011 plans in which they are wholly or partially included. I have marked on each page of the downloads, the Census Places wholly or partially included in CD6 and indicated on each page a running tally of the number of such Places.

Finally, Dr. Morrison includes in his count of split Census Places in the 2011 plan places that are not split in any consequential way. That is, no persons or a minimal number of persons are included in one side of the split. For example, the most important splits that he identified are the only cities split between CD6 and CD8 in the 2011 plan: Frederick and Rockville (no district other than CD8 borders CD6). However, the recent declaration of Shelly Aprill of the Planning Data Analysis Unit of the Maryland Department of Planning, indicates that the CD6 side of the Frederick City split contained no population and the CD8 side of the Rockville City split contained only 4 persons. ³ Just the elimination of these two splits from Dr. Morrison's tally of 13 split Census Places in CD6 under the 2011 plan would reduce the percentage of split Census Places from 9 percent to 7 percent, just 2 percentage points more than the percentage of CD6 splits under the 2001 plan.

³ Declaration of Shelly Aprill, June 29, 2017, p. 2.

II. Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Michael P. McDonald

There is little that is new in Dr. McDonald's supplemental declaration. In addition to examining possible alternative plans for Maryland's 2011 congressional districts, he references my report only to challenge my analysis that CD6 under the 2011 congressional plan is competitive district that tilts Democratic. Instead he argues that CD6 under the 2011 plan is a "safe" Democratic district. In analyzing Dr. McDonald's supplemental declaration, I have reached the following findings.

• Dr. McDonald continues to reason mechanically from effect to cause with no methodology for establishing the intent of Maryland's decision-makers.

• Dr. McDonald's own account of alternative plans considered or not considered by decisionmakers contradicts his conclusions about intent.

• Dr. McDonald is incorrect in his claim that CD6 under the 2011 congressional plan is a safe Democratic district.

Dr. McDonald's report attempts to establish what is not in dispute, that Maryland's decision-makers sought to create a Sixth Congressional District that was more favorable to Democrats than in the prior plan. He then mechanically reasons from this fact that Maryland's decision-makers intended to retaliate against Republicans for their alleged political expression. However, Dr. McDonald continues to provide no methodology for assessing intent based on the *Arlington Heights* guidelines or any other framework. He simply stands de facto by his earlier claim that he was not asked to analyze the *Arlington Heights* factors.

Dr. McDonald fails to consider any other purposes behind the configuration of CD6 in 2011 other than partisanship. Many of those purposes are explained in my opening and supplemental reports and are not reexamined in Dr. McDonald's supplemental declaration. Rather than reiterating these purposes I instead analyze some examples from Dr. McDonald's supplemental declaration that contradict his conclusion about the discriminatory intent of Maryland's decision-makers.

Dr. McDonald notes on page 4 of his declaration that two plans, Congressional Option 1 and Congressional Option 2 appeared in Senate President Mike Miller's Maptitude software on October 3, 2011. Option 1 had a Democratic Performance Index of 50.5 percent and Option 2 Index of 51.36 percent, for a difference of 0.86 percentage points. Dr. McDonald presumes without analysis that Miller and the Democrats used Option 2 as the basis for their final plan because it had this slightly higher Democratic Performance Index. Examination of the two maps, however, provides alternative explanations that Dr. McDonald fails even to consider in his supplemental declaration. First, the maps demonstrate that Option 2 provides a far more compact CD6 than does Option 1. Second, Option 2 unlike Option 1 comports with the decision-makers objective of creating an I-270 Corridor district.

Dr. McDonald notes on page 5 of his supplemental declaration that initial fine-tuning of Option 2 raised the Democratic performance of CD6 from 51.36 percent to 52.81 percent. Much later in his report on page 7 he adds that the final map reduced the Democratic performance of

CD6 to 52.61 percent. Although this reduction of 0.20 percentage points may seem like a minimal reduction, Dr. McDonald relies on even lesser differences to sustain his claims about legislative intent. In discussing the abandonment of what he terms Option 4 on October 16, 2011, shortly before the General Assembly voted to approve the plan, Dr. McDonald states on page 7, "Although I cannot know why this plan was abandoned, a plausible explanation is that further lowering of the Democratic performance of the Sixth Congressional District was deemed unacceptable." In fact, as Dr. McDonald data indicates Option 4 reduced the Democratic performance from 51.61 to 51.58, a reduction of 0.03 percentage points.

Dr. McDonald chastises Maryland's decision-makers for failing to consider what he terms the "FLHPac Plan," that he says, "has four Democratic and four Republican Congressional Districts." McDonald Supplement at 7. Dr. McDonald claims that the failure to consider this plan is another indicium of intent to discriminate against Republicans.⁴ Yet Dr. McDonald notes that this "appears in President Miller's software on October 17, 2011," which is after the governor has already released his plan and just 3 days before the final vote in the General Assembly. McDonald Supplement at 7. Moreover, a plan with 50 percent Republican districts in a state that is 60%+ Democratic would represent an extreme political gerrymander in favor of Republicans. As documented in my opening report, in 60%+ one-party dominant states of roughly comparable population to Maryland, the dominant party never once secured less than 75 percent of the congressional seats under either the 2001 or 2011 congressional plans. The average percent of seats won by the dominant party was 87 percent under the 2001 plans and 91 percent under the 2011 plans (Tables 17, 18, pp. 45-46, Lichtman Report, May 8, 2017).

Finally, Dr. McDonald omits from consideration a much more plausible alternative for Maryland decision-makers than a 4-4 plan: an 8-0 plan that gave Democrats an advantage in all 8 congressional districts. According to the declaration President Miller's plan drawer and analyst staffer, Yaakov "Jake" Weissmann, "At one point, our group considered a map that would have created the possibility that eight Democratic and zero Republican congressional representatives could be elected, but this map was not seriously considered for adoption."⁵ Dr. McDonald includes no mention of this testimony in his supplemental report. If the Democratic plan as explained in my first supplemental report and the declaration of Bill Cooper.

With respect to the partisan performance of CD6, Dr. McDonald challenges my finding that the 2011 decision-makers in Maryland created a competitive CD6 that tilts Democratic. Instead he claims they created "a safe district for Democrats." He justifies this claim by asserting for the first time in his reports that a competitive district is not one with a range of political performance, but is a district that is 50/50 in its Democratic and Republican performance. In his words, a "competitive 50% democratic performant district."

This new claim runs counter to how every independent rating organization such as the Rothenberg and Cook political reports and the *New York Times* define a competitive district, which includes a range of political performance. The Cook Political Report for 2012, for example, defines

⁴ Dr. McDonald also presents several alternative plans drawn by various groups to demonstrate the undisputed point that CD6 could have been drawn more favorably for Republicans.

⁵ Declaration of Yaakov "Jake" Weissmann, June 29, 2017, p. 12.

Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 8 of 38

a strongly Democratic or Republican (e.g., relatively safe district) as one with a partisan voter index of +5 Democratic or + 5 Republican, respectively. It defines its most competitive category of districts ("Barely Democratic" or "Barely Republican") as districts with a partisan voter index that ranges from "Democratic Even to D+2" and "Republican Even to R+2," respectively. It rates CD6 in Maryland as Democratic +2 on its partisan voter index, placing in the category of the most competitive of districts.⁶

Dr. Morrison's most recent scholarship also contradicts his claim that a competitive district must be 50/50 Republican or Democratic. In an article just published on April 20, 2017 he explicitly embraces a 45 percent to 55 percent competitiveness range based on party performance in prior presidential elections: "We use a simple statistic to score competitive districts: the number of districts with a two-party 2008 presidential vote *within a .45 to .55 range*. This range is arbitrary but has foundation in prior research (McDonald, 2006b; Swain, Borrelli, & Reed, 1998)."⁷

Date: July 12, 2017

Allan J. Liehtman

⁶ David Wasserman "Introducing the 2012 Cook Political Report Partisan Voter Index," October 11, 2012, pp. 2, 8. ^{7 7} Micah Altman and Michael P. McDonald, "Redistricting by Formula: An Ohio Reform Experiment," *American Politics Research* (2017), p. 10. See also, the analysis of competitiveness on page 7 of my first supplemental report of June 2, 2017.

APPENDIX: STATISTICAL TABLES

TABLE 1 DATA IN MORRISON OPENING REPORTED COMPARED TO DATA IN SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION

Number of Census Places in CD6 in Maryland's 2001 Congressional Plan					
Morrison	Morrison Opening	Difference in	Difference in		
Supplemental	Report	Number	Percent		
Declaration					
100	35	100	+286%		
Number of Census Places in CD6 in Maryland's 2011 Congressional Plan					
Morrison	Morrison Opening	Difference in	Difference in		
Supplemental	Report	Number	Percent		
Declaration					
122	22	100	+455%		
Sources: Morrison Openin	g Report, Table 3, p. 67; I	Morrison Suppleme	ntal Declaration,		
Unnumbered Table, p 3.					

TABLE 2

THE PERCENTAGE OF SPLIT PRECINCTS IN THE 2001 AND 2011 CONGRESSIONAL PLANS, MORRISON SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION COMPARED TO CORRECT DATA

Morrison Opening Report						
# of Census Places 2001 Plan	# of Split Places 2001 Plan	% of Split Places 2001 Plan	# of Census Place 2011 Plan	# of Split Places 2011 Plan	% of Split Places 2011 Plan	Difference In Percentage Points
35	4	11%	22	13	59%	+48%
Morrison Supplemental Declaration						
# of Census Places 2011 Plan	# of Split Places	% of Split Places	# of Census Place	# of Split Places	% of Split Places	Difference In Percentage
	2001	2001	2011	2011	2011	Points
	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	Plan	
135	4	3%	122	13	11%	+8%
Sources: Morri	son Opening	g Report, Tab	le 3, p. 67; Mo	orrison Supp	lemental De	claration,
Unnumbered Table, p 3.						

TABLE 3 DATA IN MORRISON SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION COMPARED TO CORRECTED DATA

Number of Census Places in CD6 in Maryland's 2001 Congressional Plan					
Data From	Data in Morrison Supplemental	Difference	Difference in		
Census Report	Declaration	in Number	Percent		
75	135	60	+80%		
Number of Census Places in CD6 in Maryland's 2011 Congressional Plan					
Data From	Data in Morrison Supplemental	Difference	Difference in		
Census Report	Declaration	in Number	Percent		
148	122	26	-18%		
Sources: Morrison Supplemental Declaration, Unnumbered Table, p 3; MARYLAND					
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACE," 108th Congress,					
https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt; ; MARYLAND					
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACE," 113th Congress,					
https://www2.census.	.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.	txt.			

3

TABLE 4 PERCENT OF SPLIT CENSUS PLACES: DATA IN MORRISON SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION COMPARED TO CORRECTED DATA

Data in Morri 2001 Plan	son Supp. Declaration	Corrected Da	Comparison		
# of Census	% of Split Census	# of Census	% of Split Census	Difference	
Places	Places (4/135)	Places	Places (4/75)		
135	3%	75	5%	+2%	
# of Census	% of Split Census	# of	% of Split Census	Difference	
Places	Places (13/122)	Census	Places (13/147)		
		Places			
122	11%	147	9%	-2%	
Sources: Morr	rison Supplemental Declar	ration, Unnum	bered Table, p 3; MAR	YLAND	
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACE," 108th Congress,					
https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt; ; MARYLAND					
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACE," 113th Congress,					
https://www2.	census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsl	ld13/24/pl_cd_2	24.txt.		

Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 13 of 38

ADDENDUM TO LICHTMAN SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT OF JULY 13, 2017

DOWNLOAD OF US CENSUS REPORTS ON MARYLAND CENSUS PLACES FOR THE 2001 AND 2011 CONGRESSIONAL PLANS INDICATING THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS IN WHICH PLACES ARE WHOLLY OR PARTIALLY INCLUDED.

PLACES WHOLLY OR PARTIALLY INCLUDED IN CD6 ARE MARKED WITH A BLACK LINE. EACH PAGE INCLUDES A RUNNING OF MARKED PLACES.

CENSUS REPORT FOR 2001 MARYLAND CONGRESSIONAL PLAN

.

7/9/2017

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 15 of 38

County

Harford

Harford

Garrett

Dorchester

Baltimore

Prince George's

Prince George's

Prince George's

Anne Arundel

MARYLAND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACES

Place Aberdeen city Aberdeen Proving Ground CDP Accident town Accokeek CDP Adelphi CDP Algonquin CDP Andrews AFB CDP Annapolis city Arbutus CDP Arden-on-the-Severn CDP Arnold CDP Ashton-Sandy Spring CDP Aspen Hill CDP Ballenger Creek CDP Baltimore city Barclay town Barnesville town Barton town Bel Air town Bel Air North CDP Bel Air South CDP Beltsville CDP Bennsville CDP Berlin town Berwyn Heights town Bethesda CDP Betterton town Bladensburg town Boonsboro town Bowie city Bowleys Quarters CDP Braddock Heights CDP Brandywine CDP Brentwood town Brookeville town Brooklyn Park CDP Brookmont CDP Brookview town Brunswick city Bryans Road CDP Burkittsville town Burtonsville CDP Cabin John CDP California CDP Calvert Beach-Long Beach CDP Calverton CDP Cambridge city Camp Springs CDP Cape St. Claire CDP Capitol Heights town Carmody Hills-Pepper Mill Village CDP

Anne Arundel Anne Arundel Montgomery Montgomery Frederick Baltimore city Queen Anne's Montgomery Allegany Harford Harford Harford Prince George's Charles Worcester Prince George's Montgomery Kent Prince George's Washington Prince George's Baltimore Frederick Prince George's Prince George's Montgomery Anne Arundel Montgomery Dorchester Frederick Charles Frederick Montgomery Montgomery St. Mary's Calvert Montgomery Prince George's Dorchester Prince George's Anne Arundel Prince George's Prince George's Baltimore Baltimore Washington Cecil Queen Anne's Somerset Cecil St. Mary's

Carney CDP

Chance CDP

Cavetown CDP

Cecilton town

Catonsville CDP

Centreville town

Charlestown town

Charlotte Hall CDP

7/9/2017 https://w	ww2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/	/cd108th/MD/plc c8 24.txt
Chesaneake Beachase 1:13-cv-03233-JK	3 Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 16 of 38
Chesapeake City town	Cecil	5 -
Chesapeake Ranch Estates-Drum Point CDF	Calvert	1 5
Chester CDP	Oueen Anne's	1
Chestertown town	Kent	1
Cheverly town	Prince George's	4
Chevy Chase town	Montgomery	8
Chevy Chase CDP	Montgomery	8
Chevy Chase Section Five village	Montgomery	8
Chevy Chase Section Three village	Montgomery	8
Chevy Chase View town	Montgomery	8
Chevy Chase Village town	Montgomery	8
Chillum CDD	Washington	6
Church Crook town	Prince George's	4,8
Church Hill town	Oueen Anno's	1
Clarksburg CDP	Montgomery	1
Clear Spring town	Washington	6.
Clinton CDP	Prince George's	5
Clover Hill CDP	Frederick	6
Cloverly CDP	Montgomery	4,8
Cockeysville CDP	Baltimore	1,2,6
Colesville CDP	Montgomery	8
College Park city	Prince George's	5
Colmar Manor town	Prince George's	8
	Howard	3,7
Condevia CDP	Prince George's	4
Cottage City town	Talbot Deinco Goongo's	1
Cresantown-Bel Air CDP	Allegany	8
Crisfield city	Somerset	1
Crofton CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Crownsville CDP	Anne Arundel	1.3
Cumberland city	Allegany	6
Damascus CDP	Montgomery	6
Dames Quarter CDP	Somerset	1
Darnestown CDP	Montgomery	8
Deale CDP	Anne Arundel	5
Dean Dank town	Somerset	1
Delman town	Garrett	6
Denton town	Capolino	1
Discovery-Spring Garden CDP	Erederick	1
District Heights city	Prince George's	4
Dundalk CDP	Baltimore	2
Dunkirk CDP	Calvert	5
Eagle Harbor town	Prince George's	5
East New Market town	Dorchester	1
Easton town	Talbot	1
East Riverdale CDP	Prince George's	4,5
Eden CDP	Somerset	1
Edgewood CDD	Baltimore	2
Edmonston town	Hartora Princo Goongo's	
Eldersburg CDP	Carroll	4,5
Eldorado town	Dorchester	1
Elkridge CDP	Howard	3
Elkton town	Cecil	<u> </u>
Ellicott City CDP	Howard	3,7.
Emmitsburg town	Frederick	6
Essex CDP	Baltimore	2
Fairland CDP	Montgomery	4
Fairmount CDP	Somerset	1
Fairmount Heights town	Prince George's	4
LATTS COLL CDL	ndl'TUI'U	1

1

7/9/20	017 https:	//www2.census.gov/geo/relfile	es/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt
Fe	deralsburg town	KB Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 17 of 38
Fe	rndale CDP	Anne Arundel	2.3
Fo	rest Glen CDP	Montgomery	8
Fo	rest Heights town	Prince George's	4
Fo	restville CDP	Prince George's	4
Fo	rt Meade CDP	Anne Arundel	2,3
Fo	rt Ritchie CDP	Washington	6
Fo	rt Washington CDP	Prince George's	4,5
Fo	untainhead-Orchard Hills CDP	Washington	6
Fre	ederick city	Frederick	6
Fr	enchtown-Rumbly CDP	Somerset	1
Fr:	lendly CDP	Prince George's	4,5
En:	iendswille town	Montgomery	8
Erc	osthurg city	Allogany	6
Fri	uitland city	Wicomico	1
Fu	nkstown town	Washington	6
Ga	ithersburg city	Montgomery	4.8
Ga	lena town	Kent	1
Ga	lestown town	Dorchester	1
Gai	rrett Park town	Montgomery	8
Gai	rrison CDP	Baltimore	3
Gei	rmantown CDP	Montgomery	4,8
Gi	rdletree CDP	Worcester	1
Gle	enarden city	Prince George's	4
Gle	en Burnie CDP	Anne Arundel	2,3
GLe	en Echo town	Montgomery	8
GLO	enn Dale CDP	Prince George's	4,5
GOO	ddard CDP	Prince George's	5
GO.	Iden Beden CDP	St. Mary S	5.
Gr:	antsville town	Garrett	1
Gra	asonville CDP	Queen Anne's	1
Gre	eater Landover CDP	Prince George's	4
Gre	eater Upper Marlboro CDP	Prince George's	4.5
Gre	eenbelt city	Prince George's	5
Gre	een Haven CDP	Anne Arundel	2
Gre	eensboro town	Caroline	1
Gre	een Valley CDP	Frederick	6
Hag	gerstown city	Washington	6
Ha.	Ifway CDP	Washington	6
Har	mpstead town	Baltimore	6
Upp	maten CDD	Carroll	6
Hai	npton CDP	Baltimore	1,2
Hay	vre de Grace city	Washington	2
Het	pron town	Wicomico	2
Her	nderson town	Caroline	1
Her	rald Harbor CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Hig	ghfield-Cascade CDP	Washington	6
Hig	ghland Beach town	Anne Arundel	3
Hi	llandale CDP	Montgomery	4
		Prince George's	5
Hi]	llcrest Heights CDP	Prince George's	4
Hi]	llsboro town	Caroline	1 .
Hi]	llsmere Shores CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Hug	ghesville CDP	Charles	5
Hur	ntingtown CDP	Calvert	5
Hur	TLOCK TOWN	Dorchester	1
нуа	dian Head town	Prince George's	4 <u>,</u> 5,8
124	prottsville CDD	Hanford	
			3 15
502	soup cor	Howard	3
Jor	opatowne CDP	Harford	1,2
- r	88.47		

7/9/2017 https:/	//www2.census.gov/geo/relfile	es/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24_txt
Keedvsville towase 1:13-cv-03233-JK	B Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 18 of 38
Kemp Mill CDP	Montgomery	6
Kensington town	Montgomery	8 0
Kent Narrows CDP	Oueen Anne's	1
Kettering CDP	Prince George's	4
Kingstown CDP	Queen Anne's	1
Kingsville CDP	Baltimore	1
Kitzmiller town	Garrett	6
Lake Arbor CDP	Prince George's	4
Lake Shore CDP	Anne Arundel	1,3
Landover Hills town	Prince George's	4
Langley Park CDP	Prince George's	4,8
Lannam-Seabrook CDP	Prince George's	4,5
Lansdowne-Baltimore Highlands CDP	Baltimore	3
	Charles	5 🛰
Laurel city	Prince George's	4
La Vale CDP	Allogany	5
Lavtonsville town	Montgomony	6
Leitersburg CDP	Washington	4
Leonardtown town	St Mary's	6
Lexington Park CDP	St. Mary's	5
Linganore-Bartonsville CDP	Frederick	5
Linthicum CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Lochearn CDP	Baltimore	237
Loch Lynn Heights town	Garrett	6
Lonaconing town	Allegany	6
Londontowne CDP	Anne Arundel	5
Luke town	Allegany	6
Lusby CDP	Calvert	5
Lutherville-Timonium CDP	Baltimore	2
Manchester town	Carroll	6
Manuela Springs town	Wicomico	1
Mariton CDP	Prince George's	4
Martin's Additions village	Prince George's	5
Marvdel town	Montgomery	8
Maryland City CDP	Caroline	1
Maugansville CDP	Washington	3
Mayo CDP	Anne Arundel	5
Mays Chapel CDP	Baltimore	1_3
Middle River CDP	Baltimore	2
Middletown town	Frederick	6
Midland town	Allegany	6
Milford Mill CDP	Baltimore	2,7
Millington town	Kent	1
	Queen Anne's	1
Mitchellville CDP	Prince George's	4
Montgomery Village CDP	Montgomery	4,8
Mount Astra CDD	Prince George's	4
Mountain Lake Dank term	Washington	6
Mount Ainy town	Garrett	6
Houne Arry cown	Carroll	6
Mount Lena CDP	Frederick	6
Mount Rainier city	Princo Coongo's	6
Mount Vernon CDP	Somerset	8
Myersville town	Frederick	1
Naval Academy CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Newark CDP	Worcester	1
New Carrollton city	Prince George's	4.5
New Market town	Frederick	6 1h
New Windsor town	Carroll	6 40
North Beach town	Calvert	5
North Bethesda CDP	Montgomery	8

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cd108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt

7/9/2017 https://w	ww2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cd1	108th/MD/plc_c8_24.txt
North Brentwood Case 1:13-cv-03233-JK	B _{Prince} unent 196 Fi	iled 07/12/17 Page 19 of 38
North Chevy Chase village	Montgomery	8
North East town	Cecil	1
North Kensington CDP	Montgomery	8
North Laurel CDP	Howard	7
North Potomac CDP	Montgomery	8
Oakland town	Garrett	6
Ocean City town	Worcester	1,
Ocean Pines CDP	Worcester	1
Odenton CDP	Anne Arundel	1-3
Olney CDP	Montgomery	4
Overlea CDP	Baltimore	2,3
Owings CDP		5
Oxford town	Talbat	د ک 1
Oxon Hill-Glassmanor CDP	Prince George's	1
Paramount-Long Meadow CDP	Washington	6
Parkville CDP	Baltimore	2.3
Parole CDP	Anne Arundel	3
Pasadena CDP	Anne Arundel	1-3
Perry Hall CDP	Baltimore	1,2
Perryman CDP	Harford	2
Perryville town	Cecil	1
Pikesville CDP	Baltimore	2,3
Pittsville town	Wicomico	1
Pleasant Hills CDP	Harford	1
Poclosvilla town	Worcester	1
Port Deposit town	Cocil	8
Port Tobacco Village town	Charles	T *
Potomac CDP	Montgomery	8
Potomac Heights CDP	Charles	5
Preston town	Caroline	1
Prince Frederick CDP	Calvert	5
Princess Anne town	Somerset	1
Pumphrey CDP	Anne Arundel	2,3
Queen Anne town	Queen Anne's	1
	Talbot	1
Queenstown town	Queen Anne's	1 .
Randallstown CDP	Baltimore	2,3,7
	Montgomery	4,8
Reisterstown CDP Ridgoly town	Baltimore	2,3,6
Rising Sun town	Caroline	1
Riva CDP	Anne Anundel	
Riverdale Park town	Prince George's	4 5
Riverside CDP	Harford	2
Riviera Beach CDP	Anne Arundel	2
Robinwood CDP	Washington	6
Rock Hall town	Kent	1
Rockville city	Montgomery	4,8
Rohrersville CDP	Washington	6
Rosaryville CDP	Prince George's	5 "
Rosedale CDP	Baltimore	2,3
Rosemont Village	Frederick	6
Rossville CDP	Baltimono	8 2 2
St Charles CDP	Chanlos	2,3
St. James CDP	Washington	6
St. Leonard CDP	Calvert	5
St. Michaels town	Talbot	1
Salisbury city	Wicomico	1
San Mar CDP	Washington	6
Savage-Guilford CDP	Howard	3,7
Seat Pleasant city	Prince George's	4

7/9/2017 https://w	ww2 census any/aen/relfiles/cd108th/MD/alc	c8 24 tvt
Secretary town Case 1:13-CV-03233-JKB	Document 196 Filed 07/12	17 Page 20 of 38
Selby-on-the-Bay CDP	Appa Apundal	
Severn CDP	Anne Arundel	5
Severna Park CDP	Anne Arundel	1 2
Shady Side CDP	Anne Arundel	1,5 5
Sharpsburg town	Washington	5
Sharptown town	Wicomico	1.
Silver Spring CDP	Montgomery	1 8
Smith Island CDP	Somerset	1
Smithsburg town	Washington	6
Snow Hill town	Worcester	1
Solomons CDP	Calvert	5
Somerset town	Montgomery	8
South Gate CDP	Anne Arundel	1,3
South Kensington CDP	Montgomery	8
South Laurel CDP	Prince George's	5
Springdale CDP	Prince George's	4
Stevensville CDP	Queen Anne's	1
Stockton CDP	Worcester	1
Sudlersville town	Queen Anne's	1
Sultiand-Silver Hill CDP	Prince George's	4
Takoma Dank situ	Carroll	6
Tanoutoun city	Montgomery	8
Temple Hills CDD	Carroll	6
Templeville town	Capalina	4
		1
Thurmont town	Endonick	1
Tilghman Island CDP	Talbot	1
Towson CDP	Baltimore	1 2 2
Trappe town	Talbot	2,5 1
Travilah CDP	Montgomery	8
Union Bridge town	Carroll	6
University Park town	Prince George's	5
Upper Marlboro town	Prince George's	5
Vienna town	Dorchester	1
Waldorf CDP	Charles	5
Walker Mill CDP	Prince George's	4
Walkersville town	Frederick	6
Washington Grove town	Montgomery	8
Westernport town	Allegany	6
West Laurel CDP	Prince George's	5
Westminster city	Carroll	6
West Ocean City CDP	Worcester	1
Whalowyillo CDD	Somerset	1
Whateyville CDP	Worcester	1
White Marsh CDD	Montgomery	8
White Oak CDP	Ballimore	1-3
Willards town	Hicomico	4,8
Williamsport town	Washington	
Wilson-Conococheague CDP	Washington	6
Woodlawn CDP	Baltimore	7
Woodlawn CDP	Prince George's	Λ
Woodmore CDP	Prince George's	4 5.
Woodsboro town	Frederick	6
	an an Anna an A	

12

-

CENSUS REPORT FOR 2011 MARYLAND CONGRESSIONAL PLAN

7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 22 of 38 MARYLAND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS BY PLACE

Place Congressional District	County/Independent City
Aberdeen Proving Ground CDP	Harford
2 Aberdeen city	Harford
1,2 Accident town	Garrett
6 Accokeek CDP	Prince George's
5 Adamstown CDP	Frederick
6 Adelphi CDP	Prince George's
4,5 Algonquin CDP	Dorchester
1 Allen CDP	Wicomico
1 Andrews AFR CDP	Prince George's
4,5 Annanalic Neck CDD	Anno Anundo]
3,4	Anne Arundei
Annapolis city 3	Anne Arundel
Antietam CDP 6	Washington
Aquasco CDP	Prince George's
Arbutus CDP	Baltimore
Arden on the Severn CDP	Anne Arundel
4 Arnold CDP	Anne Arundel
4 Ashton-Sandy Spring CDP	Montgomery
Aspen Hill CDP	Montgomery
3,6,8 Baden CDP	Prince George's
5 Bagtown CDP	Washington
6 Bakersville CDP	Washington
Ballenger Creek CDP	Frederick
6,8 Baltimore Highlands CDP	Baltimore
2 Baltimore city	Baltimore
2,3,7 Barclay town	Queen Anne's
Barnesville town	Montgomery
Barrelville CDP	Allegany
Barton town	Allegany
Bartonsville CDP	Frederick
ø Beaver Creek CDP	Washington

10

/w2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl cd 24.txt
Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 23 of 38
Allegany
Harford
Harford
Harford
Prince George's
Charles
Charles
Worcester
Prince George's
Montgomery
Kent
Allegany
Washington
Washington
Worcester
Wicomico
Prince George's
Garrett
Washington
Prince George's
Baltimore
Allegany
Allegany
Frederick
Prince George's
Washington
Prince George's
Prince George's
Montgomery
Anne Arundel
Montgomery

Dorchester

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt

Brookview town

1

7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfile	s/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt
Broomes Island Cose 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 24 of 38
Brownsville CDP	Washington
Brunswick city	Frederick
Bryans Road CDP	Charles
S Bryantown CDP	Charles
5 Buckeystown CDP	Frederick
6 Burkittsville town	Frederick
8 Burtonsville CDP	Montgomery
3 Butlertown CDP	Kent
1 Cabin John CDP	Montgomerv
8 California CDP	St. Marv's
5 Calvert Beach CDP	Calvert
5 Calverton CDR	Montgomony
3	Pointgomery
4	Prince George's
Cambridge city 1	Dorchester
Camp Springs CDP 4,5	Prince George's
Cape St. Claire CDP 3.4	Anne Arundel
Capitol Heights town	Prince George's
Carlos CDP	Allegany
Carney CDP -	Baltimore
Catonsville CDP	Baltimore
Cavetown CDP	Washington
6 Cearfoss CDP	Washington
6 Cecilton town	Cecil
1 Cedarville CDP	Prince George's
5 Centreville town	Queen Anne's
1 Chance CDP	Somerset
1 Charlestown town	Cecil
1 Chaplotto Hall CDR	St. Manula
5 Sheritar CDP	st. Mary s
6 Character Deeph terr	
Chesapeake Beach Town 5	
Chesapeake City town 1	Cecil
Chesapeake Ranch Estates CDP https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt	Calvert

3/17

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 25 of 38

5 Chester CDP 1 Chestertown town 1 Cheverly town 4 Chevy Chase CDP 8 Chevy Chase Section Five village 8 Chevy Chase Section Three village 8 Chevy Chase View town 8 Chevy Chase Village town 8 Chevy Chase town 8 Chewsville CDP 6 Chillum CDP 4 Choptank CDP 1 Church Creek town 1 Church Hill town 1 Clarksburg CDP 6 Clarysville CDP 6 Clear Spring town 6 Clinton CDP 5 Cloverly CDP 3,8 Cobb Island CDP 5 Cockeysville CDP 1,2,7 Colesville CDP 3,8 College Park city 5 Colmar Manor town 4 Columbia CDP 3,7 Coral Hills CDP 4 Cordova CDP 1 Corriganville CDP 6 Cottage City town 4 Crellin CDP 6 Cresaptown CDP 6 Crisfield city

7/9/2017

Queen Anne's Kent Prince George's Montgomery Montgomery Montgomery Montgomery Montgomery Montgomery Washington Prince George's Caroline Dorchester Queen Anne's Montgomery Allegany Washington Prince George's Montgomery Charles Baltimore Montgomery Prince George's Prince George's Howard Prince George's Talbot Allegany Prince George's Garrett Allegany Somerset

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt

1

7/9	/2	01	7
119	12	UΊ	1

Case 1:13-cv-03233-01489/wwDOcentraent/geologines/ded/10/24/12/241_z4.txPage 26 of 38

Crofton CDP 4,5 Croom CDP 5 Crownsville CDP 4 Cumberland city 6 Damascus CDP 6,8 Dames Quarter CDP 1 Danville CDP 6 Dargan CDP 6 Darlington CDP 1 Darnestown CDP 6 Dawson CDP 6 Deal Island CDP 1 Deale CDP 5 Deer Park town 6 Delmar town 1 Denton town 1 Derwood CDP б Detmold CDP 6 District Heights city 4 Downsville CDP 6 Drum Point CDP 5 Dundalk CDP 2 Dunkirk CDP 5 Eagle Harbor town 5 Eakles Mill CDP 6 East New Market town 1 East Riverdale CDP 4,5 Easton town 1 Eckhart Mines CDP 6

Eden CDP 1 Edesville CDP 1 Edgemere CDP 2 Edgemont CDP

Anne Arundel Prince George's Anne Arundel Allegany Montgomery Somerset Allegany Washington Harford Montgomery Allegany Somerset Anne Arundel Garrett Wicomico Caroline Montgomery Allegany Prince George's Washington Calvert Baltimore Calvert Prince George's Washington Dorchester Prince George's Talbot Allegany Somerset

Kent

Baltimore

Washington

#12

7/9/2017

4,5

1,2

4

8

1

1

6

7

1

8

6

2

3

1

1

4

6

6

1

1

6

1

6

8

4

4

2,3

4,5

2,3

4.5

2,3,7

6

Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 27 of 38

Edgewater CDP Edgewood CDP Edmonston town Eldersburg CDP Eldorado town Elkridge CDP Elkton town Ellerslie CDP Ellicott City CDP Elliott CDP Emmitsburg town Ernstville CDP Essex CDP Fairland CDP Fairlee CDP Fairmount CDP Fairmount Heights town Fairplay CDP Fairview CDP Fairwood CDP Fallston CDP Federalsburg town Ferndale CDP Finzel CDP Fishing Creek CDP Flintstone CDP Forest Glen CDP Forest Heights town Forestville CDP Fort Meade CDP Fort Ritchie CDP Fort Washington CDP

Anne Arundel Harford Prince George's Carroll Dorchester Howard Cecil Allegany Howard Dorchester Frederick Washington Baltimore Montgomery Kent Somerset Prince George's Washington Washington Prince George's Harford Caroline Anne Arundel Garrett Dorchester Allegany Montgomery Prince George's Prince George's Anne Arundel Washington Prince George's



7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/relf	iles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt
Fountainhead-Orchard 1113-CV-03233-JKB Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 28 of 38
Four Corners CDP	Montgomery
Franklin CDP	Allegany
Frederick city	Frederick
Frenchtown-Rumbly CDP	Somerset
I Friendly CDP	Prince George's
Friendship CDP	Anne Arundel
5 Friendship Heights Village CDP °	Montgomery
o Friendsville town	Garrett
Frostburg city	Allegany
Fruitland city	Wicomico
Fulton CDP	Howard
Funkstown town	Washington
Gaithersburg city	Montgomery
Galena town	Kent
Galestown town	Dorchester
Galesville CDP	Anne Arundel
Gambrills CDP	Anne Arundel
Gapland CDP	Washington
Garrett Park town	Montgomery
Garretts Mill CDP	Washington
Garrison CDP	Baltimore
S Georgetown CDP	Kent
Germantown CDP	Montgomery
6 Gilmore CDP	Allegany
6 Girdletree CDP	Worcester
Glassmanor CDP	Prince George's
Glen Burnie CDP	Anne Arundel
Glen Echo town	Montgomery
Glenarden city	Prince George's
Glenmont CDP	Montgomery
o Glenn Dale CDP	Prince George's
Golden Beach CDP	St. Mary's

7/9/2017 https://ww	ww2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl cd 24.txt	
5 Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB	Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 29 of 3	38
	Caroline	
Gorman CDP 6	Garrett	
Grahamtown CDP	Allegany	
Grantsville town	Garrett	
Grasonville CDP	Queen Anne's	
Greenbelt city	Prince George's	
Greensboro town	Caroline	
Greensburg CDP	Washington	
Hagerstown city	Washington	
Halfway CDP	Washington	
Hampstead town	Carroll	
Hampton CDP	Baltimore	
Hancock town	Washington	
Havre de Grace city	Harford	
Hebron town	Wicomico	
Henderson town	Caroline	
Herald Harbor CDP	Anne Arundel	
Highfield-Cascade CDP	Washington	
Highland Beach town	Anne Arundel	
Highland CDP	Howard	
Hillandale CDP	Montgomery	
4	Prince George's	
Hillcrest Heights CDP	Prince George's	
Hillsboro town	Caroline	
Hughesville CDP	Charles	
Huntingtown CDP	Calvert	
Hurlock town	Dorchester	
Hutton CDP	Garrett	
Hyattsville city	Prince George's	1.40
Ilchester CDP	Howard	1
Indian Head town	Charles	
Indian Springs CDP	Washington	

7/9/2017

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt

Filed 07/12/17 Page 30 of 38 Jarrettsville Spase 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 1 Jefferson CDP Frederick 6,8 Jennings CDP Garrett 6 Jessup CDP Anne Arundel 2 Howard 2 Jesterville CDP Wicomico 1 Joppatowne CDP Harford 1,2 Jugtown CDP Washington 6 Keedysville town Washington 6 Kemp Mill CDP Montgomery 8 Kemps Mill CDP Washington 6 Kennedyville CDP Kent 1 Kensington town Montgomery 8 Kent Narrows CDP Queen Anne's 1 Kettering CDP Prince George's 4 Kingstown CDP Queen Anne's 1 Kingsville CDP Baltimore 1 Kitzmiller town Garrett 6 Klondike CDP Allegany 6 Konterra CDP Prince George's 4,5 La Plata town Charles 5 La Vale CDP Allegany 6 Lake Arbor CDP Prince George's 4 Lake Shore CDP Anne Arundel 3,4 Landover CDP Prince George's 4 Landover Hills town Prince George's 4 Langley Park CDP Prince George's 4 Lanham CDP Prince George's 4,5 Lansdowne CDP* Baltimore 3 Largo CDP Prince George's 4 Laurel city Prince George's 4 Layhill CDP Montgomery 8 Laytonsville town Montgomery



7	/9/2017 https://www2.c	census.gov/geo/relfile	s/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt	
	6,8 Case 1:13-CV-03233-JKB D Leisure World CDP	ocument 196	Filed 07/12/17 Pag Montgomery	ge 31 of 38
	Leitersburg CDP		Washington	
	b Leonardtown town		St. Mary's	
	Lexington Park CDP		St. Mary's	
	Libertytown CDP		Frederick	
	Linganore CDP		Frederick	
	Linthicum CDP		Anne Arundel	
	Little Orleans CDP		Allegany	
	Loch Lynn Heights town		Garrett	
	Lochearn CDP		Baltimore	
	Lonaconing town		Allegany	
	Long Beach CDP		Calvert	
	Luke town		Allegany	
	Lusby CDP		Calvert	
	Lutherville CDP		Baltimore	
	Madison CDP		Dorchester	
	Manchester town		Carroll	
	Mapleville CDP		Washington	
	Mardela Springs town		Wicomico	
	I Marlboro Meadows CDP		Prince George's	
	Marlboro Village CDP		Prince George's	
	4,5 Marlow Heights CDP		Prince George's	
	A Marlton CDP		Prince George's	
	Martin's Additions village		Montgomery	
	8 Marydel town		Caroline	
	Maryland City CDP		Anne Arundel	
	Maugansville CDP		Washington	
	Mayo CDP		Anne Arundel	
	Mays Chapel CDP		Baltimore	
	McCoole CDP		Allegany	10
	Mechanicsville CDP		St. Mary's	
	Melwood CDP		Prince George's	

7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/rel	files/cdsld13/24/pl cd 24.txt
Mercersville Coase 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 32 of 38
Middle River CDP 2	Baltimore
Middleburg CDP	Washington
Middletown town	Frederick
Midland town	Allegany
Midlothian CDP	Allegany
Milford Mill CDP	Baltimore
Millington town	Kent
1	Queen Anne's
Mitchellville CDP	Prince George's
Monrovia CDP	Frederick
Montgomery Village CDP	Montgomery
Morningside town	Prince George's
Moscow CDP	Allegany
Mount Aetna CDP	Washington
Mount Airy town	Carroll
8	Frederick
Mount Briar CDP	Washington
Mount Lena CDP	Washington
Mount Rainier city	Prince George's
Mount Savage CDP	Allegany
Mount Vernon CDP	Somerset
Mountain Lake Park town	Garrett
Myersville town	Frederick
Nanticoke Acres CDP 1	Wicomico
Nanticoke CDP	Wicomico
National CDP	Allegany
National Harbor CDP	Prince George's
Naval Academy CDP	Anne Arundel
New Carrollton city	Prince George's
New Market town	Frederick
New Windsor town	Carroll
Newark CDP	Worcester

7/9/2017

7/9/2017	https://ww	w2.census.gov/geo/relfile	es/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.t	xt
1	Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB	Document 196	Filed 07/12/17	Page 33 of 38
Nikep б	CDP		Allegany	
North 5	Beach town		Calvert	
North	Bethesda CDP		Montgomery	
o North 4	Brentwood town		Prince George's	
North 8	Chevy Chase village		Montgomery	
North 1	East town		Cecil	
North 8	Kensington CDP		Montgomery	
North 2	Laurel CDP		Howard	
North 6,8	Potomac CDP		Montgomery	
Oaklan 6	d town		Garrett	
Ocean 6	CDP		Allegany	
Ocean 1	City town		Worcester	
Ocean 1	Pines CDP		Worcester	8
Odento 2-4	n CDP		Anne Arundel	
Oldtow 6	n CDP		Allegany	
Olney	CDP		Montgomery	
Overle	a CDP		Baltimore	
Owings	CDP		Calvert	
Owings	Mills CDP		Baltimore	
0xford	town		Talbot	
Oxon H	ill CDP		Prince George's	
Paramo	unt-Long Meadow CDP		Washington	
Parkvi	lle CDP		Baltimore	
Parole	CDP		Anne Arundel	
Parson:	sburg CDP		Wicomico	
1 Pasader	na CDP		Anne Arundel	
3,4 Pecktor	nville CDP		Washington	
6 Peppern	nill Village CDP		Prince George's	
4 Perry H	Hall CDP		Baltimore	
1-3 Perryma	an CDP		Harford	7
2 Perryvi	lle town		Cecil	+
1 Pikesvi	ille CDP		Baltimore	T
2,3				

7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/rel	files/cdsld13/24/pl cd 24.txt
Pinesburg CDP Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 34 of 38 Washington
Piney Point CDP	St. Mary's
Pittsville town	Wicomico
Pleasant Grove CDP	Allegany
Pleasant Hills CDP	Harford
Pocomoke City city	Worcester
Point of Rocks CDP	Frederick
Pomfret CDP	Charles
Pondsville CDP	Washington
Poolesville town	Montgomery
Port Deposit town	Cecil
Port Tobacco Village town	Charles
Potomac CDP	Montgomery
Potomac Heights CDP	Charles
Potomac Park CDP	Allegany
Powellville CDP	Wicomico
Preston town	Caroline
Prince Frederick CDP	Calvert
Princess Anne town	Somerset
Pylesville CDP	Harford
Quantico CDP	Wicomico
Queen Anne CDP	Prince George's
Queen Anne town 1	Queen Anne's
1	Talbot
Queenland CDP	Prince George's
Queenstown town	Queen Anne's
Randallstown CDP	Baltimore
Rawlings CDP	Allegany
Redland CDP	Montgomery
Reid CDP	Washington
Reisterstown CDP	Baltimore IO
Ridgely town	Caroline
- Ringgold CDP	Washington

7/9/2017

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt Case 1:13 ov-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 35 of 38

6 Rising Sun town 1 Riva CDP 4 Riverdale Park town 4,5 Riverside CDP 2 Riviera Beach CDP 3,4 Robinwood CDP 6 Rock Hall town 1 Rock Point CDP 5 Rockville city 6,8 Rohrersville CDP 6 Rosaryville CDP 5 Rosedale CDP 2,3 Rosemont village 6 Rossville CDP 2,3 Sabillasville CDP 8 Salisbury city 1 San Mar CDP 6 Sandy Hook CDP 6 Savage CDP 2 Scaggsville CDP 2,3 Seabrook CDP 4,5 Seat Pleasant city 4 Secretary town 1 Severn CDP 2-4 Severna Park CDP 4 Shady Side CDP 5 Shaft CDP 6 Sharpsburg town 6 Sharptown town 1 Silver Hill CDP 4 Silver Spring CDP 3,8 Smith Island CDP 1

Cecil Anne Arundel Prince George's Harford Anne Arundel Washington Kent Charles Montgomery Washington Prince George's Baltimore Frederick Baltimore Frederick Wicomico Washington Washington Howard Howard Prince George's Prince George's Dorchester Anne Arundel Anne Arundel Anne Arundel Allegany Washington Wicomico Prince George's Montgomery Somerset

7/9/2017 https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt Smithsburg tow ase 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 36 of 38 6 Snow Hill town Worcester 1 Solomons CDP Calvert 5 Somerset town Montgomery 8 South Kensington CDP Montgomery 8 South Laurel CDP Prince George's 4,5 Spencerville CDP Montgomery 3 Spring Gap CDP Allegany 6 Spring Ridge CDP Frederick 8 Springdale CDP Prince George's 4 St. George Island CDP St. Mary's 5 St. James CDP Washington 6 St. Leonard CDP Calvert 5 St. Michaels town Talbot 1 Stevensville CDP Queen Anne's 1 Stockton CDP Worcester 1 Sudlersville town Queen Anne's 1 Suitland CDP Prince George's 4 Summerfield CDP Prince George's 4 Swanton CDP Garrett 6 Sykesville town Carroll 8 Takoma Park city Montgomery 8 Tall Timbers CDP St. Mary's 5 Taneytown city Carroll 1 Taylors Island CDP Dorchester 1 Temple Hills CDP Prince George's 4 Templeville town Caroline 1 Queen Anne's 1 Thurmont town Frederick 8 Tilghman Island CDP Talbot 1 Tilghmanton CDP Washington 6 Timonium CDP Baltimore 2,7

Kent

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt

Tolchester CDP

7/9/2017

https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.txt Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB Document 196 Filed 07/12/17 Page 37 of 38

1 Towson CDP Baltimore 2,3 Trappe town Talbot 1 Travilah CDP Montgomery 6,8 Trego-Rohrersville Station CDP Washington 6 Tyaskin CDP Wicomico 1 Union Bridge town Carroll 8 University Park town Prince George's Upper Marlboro town Prince George's 5 Urbana CDP Frederick 6 Vale Summit CDP Allegany 6 Vienna town Dorchester 1 Waldorf CDP Charles 5 Walker Mill CDP Prince George's 4 Walkersville town Frederick 8 Washington Grove town Montgomery Waterview CDP Wicomico 1 West Denton CDP Caroline 1 West Laurel CDP Prince George's 4 West Ocean City CDP Worcester 1 West Pocomoke CDP Somerset 1 Westernport town Allegany 6 Westminster city Carroll 8 Westphalia CDP Prince George's 4,5 Whaleyville CDP Worcester 1 Wheaton CDP Montgomery 6,8 White Marsh CDP Baltimore 1-3 White Oak CDP Montgomery 3,8 Whitehaven CDP Wicomico 1 Willards town Wicomico 1 Williamsport town Washington 6 Williston CDP Caroline 1 Wilson-Conococheague CDP Washington 6

7/9/2017 https://w	7 https://www2.census.gov/geo/relfiles/cdsld13/24/pl_cd_24.tvt		
Woodland CDP Case 1:13-cv-03233-JKB	Document 196	Filed 07/12/17 Page 38 of 38	
Woodlawn CDP 7		Baltimore	
4.5		Prince George's	
Woodmore CDP 4.5		Prince George's	
Woodsboro town 8		Frederick	
Worton CDP 1		Kent	
Yarrowsburg CDP		Washington	
Zihlman CDP		Allegany	

3

4

**