

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION

Golden Bethune-Hill, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Virginia State Board of Elections, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-00852-REP-  
AWA-BMK

**Defendant-Intervenors' Objections to Proposed Remedial Plans**

Pursuant to this Court's order of October 19, 2018, ECF No. 278 at 1, requiring the parties and other interested persons to "submit their objections to, if any, and briefs in response to the remedial plans, maps, and briefs submitted on November 2, 2018," Defendant-Intervenors respectfully object to the proposed plans submitted to the Court.

**Preliminary Objection to Remedial Proceedings**

The Supreme Court has now taken this case for plenary review, which will involve district-by-district scrutiny of each of the 11 districts subject to this Court's injunction and *de novo* review of its predominance and narrow-tailoring legal tests. There is no reason to proceed with further remedial proceedings because a map issued at this time will be of no use. If the Supreme Court reverses on even one district, a remedial map issued at this time would need to be redone after the Supreme Court's order. The effort is therefore futile. Moreover, Defendant-

Intervenors object to issuance of a map this late in the decade, with outdated census data for the purpose of only impacting one election.

### Summary of Objections

As stated in Defendant-Intervenors brief in support of their remedial proposals, the governing principle is that the Court must “choose that plan which most closely approximate[s] the state-proposed plan,” once the would-be violation is remedied.<sup>1</sup> *Upham v. Seamon*, 456 U.S. 37, 42 (1982). Of the maps proposed, HB7002 must be selected because it most closely approximates state policy while addressing the Court’s memorandum opinion in full. That is because the map is narrowly tailored to address the precise lines the Court criticized as evidencing racial motive, without considering racial data in any way. Accordingly, of the plans submitted, HB7002 presents the least change from the 2011 Plan, while remedying in full the supposed racial impact on lines.

The other proposed maps make freewheeling policy choices based on abstract notions of good districting, but they pay little head to the *valid* policy choices actually reflected in the 2011 plan.<sup>2</sup> Worse, the plans do not remedy the would-be

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant-Intervenors continue to contest liability and reference legal violations for the sake of argument only.

<sup>2</sup> That is where the brief of the group “New Virginia Majority” goes wrong. *See* ECF No. 299. The brief is a wish list of someone’s notion of good redistricting ideas. But the Court is not free to implement whatever it may view as good ideas. It is restricted to state policy except as necessary to remedy the violation. The Court should not consider New Virginia Majority’s proposed plans because the organization missed the deadlines, and Defendant-Intervenors (and other case participants) have not had an opportunity to analyze the proposals.

violation because the other participants either admit that race was considered or say nothing on the subject, but provide no basis for evaluating the *degree* of racial consideration and impact on lines or the strong basis in evidence required to support district-specific racial choices. The Court and other participants are left to take on faith the boilerplate representations that race did not predominate and that the use of race was tailored. If that were the appropriate level of analysis, the 2011 Plan would have passed because its proponents did not believe race predominated (it did not) and they believed their use of race was tailored under VRA § 5 (it was), a compelling state interest in 2011. The same level of scrutiny applies to the proposed maps, and without further information, the Court can neither approve nor rely on any of them. Only HB7002 is supported by sworn testimony that race received *no* weight and therefore could not have predominated.

Moreover, some of the plans suffer from blatant defects. The NAACP's plans have already been identified by the Court as containing problems that must be fixed. Because NAACP will resubmit amended plans at a later date, Defendant-Intervenors reserve objections to any such plans until they are re-submitted. The plans submitted by William & Mary Law School are also defective because they use the wrong census data and fail to comply with the plus-or-minus one percent deviation requirement.

Accordingly, because HB7002 is the only plan that remedies what the Court deemed to be violations and involves the least change from the 2011 Plan, the Court is legally obligated to adopt it, if it even acts at this time.

**I. Specific Objections to William & Mary Law School Proposals**

William & Mary Law School submitted two remedial proposals that, while commendable efforts from an education gem of our Commonwealth, do not merit consideration here. Their most obvious defect is that they are only partial plans and therefore cannot serve the entire Commonwealth.

Another obvious defect is that they use the wrong census data. As Delegate Jones testified at trial, the Census Bureau's initial release of decennial census numbers was defective because the Bureau miscoded census blocks in the Norfolk area and allocated tens of thousands of residents into the wrong census blocks. 1 Tr. 276:4–22. This means that anyone using that census data would wrongly believe that thousands of persons were placed in one district when they were actually in a different district. As Delegate Jones testified, redistricting could not proceed under that error. Thus, the Census Bureau reissued corrected data that formed the basis of the 2011 Plan.

The William & Mary Law School proposals, however, use the incorrect data. Even though the map-drawers believed they had the correct data, both of these partial non-statewide plans, once integrated into the base of the enacted plan, had numerous districts that were beyond plus-or-minus one percent of the ideal population. The maps therefore fail under the plus-or-minus one percent criterion.

A further problem is that the William & May Law School proposals are supported with only cursory explanations of purpose that afford no basis to ensure that race did not predominate and that any predominant use of race is narrowly tailored. For example, the "Team Owens" plan references instances where "BVAP

needed to be increased” and suggests the intentional creation of “influence districts” where race was considered in some unknown way. *See* Team Owens Narrative at 2 and 4.<sup>3</sup> There is no explanation of why creating influence districts is required by the Voting Rights Act or by an adopted Virginia state policy, and the evidence in this case and the legal doctrine under the Voting Rights Act all suggest to the contrary. And, to the extent VRA § 5 is the basis for race-based maneuvers, there is no explanation of why that is a compelling state interest when Virginia is no longer a § 5 covered jurisdiction. The Team Democracy Plan, meanwhile, has only a cursory narrative with information of no use to this Court.

For these reasons, there is too little information to assess predominance or narrow tailoring. And that information cannot be gleaned from looking at the plan or analyzing its metrics because the type of legal violation at issue here manifests itself in “racial purpose” not “its stark manifestation.” *Bethune-Hill v. Virginia State Bd. of Elections*, 137 S. Ct. 788, 798 (2017).

It is clear, however, that the maps reflect no effort to address, line-by-line, the Court’s opinion as HB7002 does. And, by other objective metrics, the William & Mary Law School plans are exceptionally weak. For example, each plan splits over 250 VTDs, compared to 99 split VTDs in HB7002. And the plans pair 14 and 10 incumbents, respectively. *See* Exhibits A and B, Incumbent Pairings for Respective

---

<sup>3</sup> Statements on the William & Mary Law School plans appears not to have been submitted to the Court or served on Defendant-Intervenors’ counsel, and was only discovered by counsel online late in the process for review.

William & Mary Plans. Some districts in these plans are quite non-compact, resulting in single-digits for Polsby-Popper, which are meaningfully below the scores approved in Virginia Supreme Court precedent.

These maps do not meet the legal requirements necessary for a remedial plan, and the Court should neither adopt nor rely on them.

## **II. Specific Objections to Plaintiffs' Proposals**

Plaintiffs propose two maps that are likewise inferior to HB7002.

First, as to remedying the violation, neither of Plaintiffs' proposals even purports to target the issues identified in the Court's Memorandum Opinion. Instead, Plaintiffs state vaguely that they tried to achieve "good government criteria." ECF No. 292 at 7 (quotations omitted). But the remedial phase is not an opportunity for everything "good" in "government." "The Constitution does not mandate regularity of district shape." *Bush v. Vera*, 517 U.S. 952, 962 (1996). And, because suspect racial motive can exist independent of district shape, *Bethune-Hill*, 137 S. Ct. at 798, remedying improper motive is not simply a matter of drawing tidy districts. The remedy must target the "direct and significant impact" racial motive allegedly had on lines. *Alabama Legislative Black Caucus v. Alabama*, 135 S. Ct. 1257, 1271 (2015). HB7002 does that by addressing the Court's actual concerns, not by shooting for abstract "good government" ideals.

Plaintiffs' approach is even worse because Plaintiffs represent that the undisclosed map-drawers *used race* in the remedial plan. ECF No. 292 at 7 ("Plaintiffs then...did basic backstopping of the resulting maps by ensuring the resulting maps were non-retrogressive"). This reference is simply too vague for the

Court to evaluate Plaintiffs' assertion that race did not predominate. Which lines were changed because of racial motive? When were they changed? Why were they changed? The Court is left to guess from the maps themselves, which do not necessarily answer that question. *Bethune-Hill*, 137 S. Ct. at 798.

Plaintiffs' proposal is, then, unlike HB7002 as to which the Court has sworn testimony from the map-drawer (Delegate Bell) that he did not use racial data to draw the map. Plaintiffs' proposal has been prepared by an unknown cartographer who admits to using race with no further detail, on the expectation that the Court take vague statements of non-predominance on faith.

Furthermore, it is unclear why race was used. Apparently, Plaintiffs believe some type of "non-retrogression" standard applies. ECF No. 292 at 7. But they would need to identify "good reasons" for the Court to believe a violation of VRA § 5 would result from a race-blind plan. *Bethune-Hill*, 137 S. Ct. at 800. It is anyone's guess what those "good reasons" might be when Virginia is no longer a covered jurisdiction under VRA § 5. And, if VRA § 5 does apply, Plaintiffs have failed to show non-retrogression because the analysis they rely on does not prove that their BVAP levels preserve the ability of black voters to elect their preferred candidates as compared to the benchmark districts. The analysis they cite did not purport to identify the levels necessary for that purpose.

Second, Plaintiffs' proposals fare worse than HB7002 in honoring the legitimate state policies of the 2011 plan. HB7002, on average, has better retention of Virginia residents in their same districts as compared to both of Plaintiffs'

proposals, meaning Plaintiffs' districts change more than those in HB7002.

*Compare* Exhibits C and D, Population Changes for Plaintiffs' Proposals *with* Exhibit E, Population Changes for HB7002. Further, Plaintiffs' proposals change more total districts (33 and 32, respectively) than HB7002 changes (30). HB7002 includes no district changed by more than 50%; both of Plaintiffs' proposals contain districts with over 50% change. And, in HB7002, 8 districts have less than 10% change. HB7002 accomplishes all of this by maintaining similar compactness scores with Plaintiffs' proposals and with no use of race.

Accordingly, HB7002 is the most tailored option of the three proposals. Its lines directly address the Court's memorandum opinion, and change the least from legitimate policy choices. It therefore meets the governing legal test as the necessary plan for adoption. At a minimum, it merits extensive consideration in the special master's preparation of his remedial plan.

### **Conclusion**

The Court should adopt HB7002 or HB7003, not any other plan proposed in this matter.



Dated: November 16, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Katherine L. McKnight

Katherine L. McKnight (VSB No. 81482)

Richard B. Raile (VSB No. 84340)

E. Mark Braden (*pro hac vice*)

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

1050 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20036

Tel: (202) 861-1500

Fax: (202) 861-1783

kmcknight@bakerlaw.com

rraile@bakerlaw.com

mbraden@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for the Virginia House of Delegates  
and Virginia House of Delegates Speaker M.  
Kirkland Cox*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 16th day of November, 2018, a copy of the foregoing was filed and served on all counsel of record pursuant to the Court's electronic filing procedures using the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Katherine L. McKnight  
Katherine L. McKnight (VSB No. 81482)  
Richard B. Raile (VSB No. 84340)  
E. Mark Braden (*pro hac vice*)  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20036  
Tel: (202) 861-1500  
Fax: (202) 861-1783  
kmcknight@bakerlaw.com  
rraile@bakerlaw.com  
mbraden@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for the Virginia House of Delegates  
and Virginia House of Delegates Speaker M.  
Kirkland Cox*

# EXHIBIT A

Plan: vaLD\_W&amp;M-Democ-mw-H314-H353rk15b

Plan Type:

Administrator:

User:

## Districts & Their Incumbents

Thursday, November 15, 2018

2:02 PM

---

District	Name	Party	Previous District
001	Terry Kilgore	R	1
002	Jennifer Carroll Foy	D	2
003	James Morefield	R	3
004	Todd Pillion	R	4
005	Israel O'Quinn	R	5
006	Jeffrey Campbell	R	6
007	L. Nick Rush	R	7
008	Gregory Habeeb	R	8
009	Charles Poindexter	R	9
010	Gwendolyn Gooditis	D	10
011	Sam Rasoul	D	11
012	Chris Hurst	D	12
013	Danica Roem	D	13
014	Daniel Marshall	R	14
015	C. Todd Gilbert	R	15
016	Leslie Adams	R	16
017	Christopher Head	R	17
018	Michael Webert	R	18
019	Terry Austin	R	19
020	Richard Bell	R	20
021	Kelly Convirs-Fowler	D	21
022	Kathy Byron	R	22
023	T. Scott Garrett	R	23
024	Benjamin Cline	R	24
025	R. Steve Landes	R	25

---

10355

<b>District</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Previous District</b>
026	Tony Wilt	R	26
027	Roxann Robinson	R	27
028	Robert Thomas	R	28
029	Christopher Collins	R	29
030	Nicholas Freitas	R	30
031	Elizabeth Guzman	D	31
032	David Reid	D	32
033	David LaRock	R	33
034	Kathleen Murphy	D	34
035	Mark Keam	D	35
036	Kenneth Plum	D	36
037	David Bulova	D	37
038	Kaye Kory	D	38
039	Vivian Watts	D	39
040	Timothy Hugo	R	40
041	Eileen Filler-Corn	D	41
042	Kathy Tran	D	42
043	Mark Sickles	D	43
044	Paul Krizek	D	44
045	Mark Levine	D	45
046	Charniele Herring	D	46
047	Patrick Hope	D	47
048	Richard Sullivan	D	48
049	Alfonso Lopez	D	49
050	Lee Carter	D	50
051	Hala Ayala	D	51
052	Luke Torian	D	52
053	Marcus Simon	D	53
054	Robert Orrock	R	54
055	Hyland Fowler	R	55
056	John McGuire	R	56
057	David Toscano	D	57

10356

<b>District</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Previous District</b>
058	Robert Bell	R	58
059	C. Matthew Fariss	R	59
060	James Edmunds	R	60
061	Thomas Wright	R	61
062	Riley Ingram	R	62
063	Lashrecse Aird	D	63
064	Emily Brewer	R	64
065	R. Lee Ware	R	65
066	M. Kirkland Cox	R	66
067	Karrie Delaney	D	67
068	Dawn Adams	D	68
069	Betsy Carr	D	69
070			
071	Delores McQuinn	D	70
071	Jeffrey Bourne	D	71
072	Schuyler VanValkenburg	D	72
073	Debra Rodman	D	73
074	Lamont Bagby	D	74
075	Roslyn Tyler	D	75
076	S. Chris Jones	R	76
077	C. E. (Cliff) Hayes	D	77
078	James Leftwich	R	78
079			
080	Matthew James	D	80
080	Stephen Heretick	D	79
081	Barry Knight	R	81
082	Jason Miyares	R	82
083	Christopher Stolle	R	83
084	Glenn Davis	R	84
085	Cheryl Turpin	D	85
086	Jennifer Boysko	D	86
087	John Bell	D	87

District	Name	Party	Previous District
088	Mark Cole	R	88
089			
090	Jerrauld Jones	D	89
090	Joseph Lindsey	D	90
091	Jeion Ward	D	92
091	Gordon Helsel	R	91
092			
093	Michael Mullin	D	93
094			
095	David Yancey	R	94
095	Marcia Price	D	95
096	Brenda Pogge	R	96
097	Christopher Peace	R	97
098	M. Keith Hodges	R	98
099	Margaret Ransone	R	99
100	Robert Bloxom	R	100

Number of Incumbents in District with more than one Incumbent:	10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with No Incumbent:	5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Incumbents of more than one party:	2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Paired Democrats:	3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Paired Republicans:	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# EXHIBIT B



Plan: vaLD\_W&amp;M-Owens-mw-H31113352rk15b

Plan Type:

Administrator:

User:

## Districts & Their Incumbents

Thursday, November 15, 2018

1:52 PM

---

District	Name	Party	Previous District
001	Terry Kilgore	R	1
002	Jennifer Carroll Foy	D	2
003	James Morefield	R	3
004	Todd Pillion	R	4
005	Israel O'Quinn	R	5
006	Jeffrey Campbell	R	6
007	L. Nick Rush	R	7
008	Gregory Habeeb	R	8
009	Charles Poindexter	R	9
010	Gwendolyn Gooditis	D	10
011	Sam Rasoul	D	11
012	Chris Hurst	D	12
013	Danica Roem	D	13
014	Daniel Marshall	R	14
015	C. Todd Gilbert	R	15
016	Leslie Adams	R	16
017	Christopher Head	R	17
018	Michael Webert	R	18
019	Terry Austin	R	19
020	Richard Bell	R	20
021	Kelly Convirs-Fowler	D	21
022	Kathy Byron	R	22
023	T. Scott Garrett	R	23
024	Benjamin Cline	R	24
025	R. Steve Landes	R	25

---

10360

<b>District</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Previous District</b>
026	Tony Wilt	R	26
027	Roxann Robinson	R	27
028	Robert Thomas	R	28
029	Christopher Collins	R	29
030	Nicholas Freitas	R	30
031	Elizabeth Guzman	D	31
032	David Reid	D	32
033	David LaRock	R	33
034	Kathleen Murphy	D	34
035	Mark Keam	D	35
036	Kenneth Plum	D	36
037	David Bulova	D	37
038	Kaye Kory	D	38
039	Vivian Watts	D	39
040	Timothy Hugo	R	40
041	Eileen Filler-Corn	D	41
042	Kathy Tran	D	42
043	Mark Sickles	D	43
044	Paul Krizek	D	44
045	Mark Levine	D	45
046	Charniele Herring	D	46
047	Patrick Hope	D	47
048	Richard Sullivan	D	48
049	Alfonso Lopez	D	49
050	Lee Carter	D	50
051	Hala Ayala	D	51
052	Luke Torian	D	52
053	Marcus Simon	D	53
054	Robert Orrock	R	54
055	Hyland Fowler	R	55
056	John McGuire	R	56
057	David Toscano	D	57

10361

<b>District</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Party</b>	<b>Previous District</b>
058	Robert Bell	R	58
059	C. Matthew Fariss	R	59
060	James Edmunds	R	60
061	Thomas Wright	R	61
062	M. Kirkland Cox	R	66
062	Riley Ingram	R	62
063	Lashrecse Aird	D	63
064	Emily Brewer	R	64
065	R. Lee Ware	R	65
066			
067	Karrie Delaney	D	67
068	Dawn Adams	D	68
068	Betsy Carr	D	69
069			
070			
071	Delores McQuinn	D	70
071	Jeffrey Bourne	D	71
072	Schuyler VanValkenburg	D	72
072	Lamont Bagby	D	74
073	Debra Rodman	D	73
074			
075	Roslyn Tyler	D	75
076	S. Chris Jones	R	76
077	C. E. (Cliff) Hayes	D	77
078	James Leftwich	R	78
079			
080	Matthew James	D	80
080	Stephen Heretick	D	79
081	Barry Knight	R	81
082	Jason Miyares	R	82
083	Christopher Stolle	R	83
084	Glenn Davis	R	84

District	Name	Party	Previous District
085	Cheryl Turpin	D	85
086	Jennifer Boysko	D	86
087	John Bell	D	87
088	Mark Cole	R	88
089	Jerrauld Jones	D	89
090	Joseph Lindsey	D	90
091	Jeion Ward	D	92
091	Gordon Helsel	R	91
092			
093	Michael Mullin	D	93
094			
095	David Yancey	R	94
095	Marcia Price	D	95
096	Brenda Pogge	R	96
097	Christopher Peace	R	97
098	M. Keith Hodges	R	98
099	Margaret Ransone	R	99
100	Robert Bloxom	R	100

Number of Incumbents in District with more than one Incumbent:	14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with No Incumbent:	7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Incumbents of more than one party:	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Paired Democrats:	4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Number of Districts with Paired Republicans:	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# EXHIBIT C

DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with 2018-PTF-PropA(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H345 2018-PTF-PropA-Nov02

Dst	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff	Member
1	80,508	80,508	100.0	0	0.0	KILGORE, TERRY G. (REP)
2	79,491	79,491	100.0	0	0.0	Foy, Jennifer D. Carroll (Dem)
3	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	MOREFIELD, JAMES W. 'WILL' (REP)
4	80,446	80,446	100.0	0	0.0	PILLION, TODD E. (REP)
5	80,600	80,600	100.0	0	0.0	O'QUINN, ISRAEL D. (REP)
6	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	CAMPBELL, JEFFREY L. (REP)
7	80,146	80,146	100.0	0	0.0	RUSH, LARRY N. 'NICK' (REP)
8	80,685	80,685	100.0	0	0.0	HABEEB, GREGORY D. (REP)
9	80,574	80,574	100.0	0	0.0	POINDEXTER, CHARLES D. (REP)
10	80,617	80,617	100.0	0	0.0	Gooditis, Wendy W. (Dem)
11	80,132	80,132	100.0	0	0.0	Rasoul, S. (Sam) (Dem)
12	80,492	80,492	100.0	0	0.0	Hurst, Chris L. (Dem)
13	80,579	80,579	100.0	0	0.0	Roem, Danica A. (Dem)
14	79,407	79,407	100.0	0	0.0	MARSHALL, D. W. 'DANNY', III (REP)
15	80,630	80,630	100.0	0	0.0	GILBERT, C. TODD (REP)
16	79,692	79,692	100.0	0	0.0	ADAMS, LES R. (REP)
17	80,631	80,631	100.0	0	0.0	HEAD, CHRISTOPHER T. (REP)
18	79,450	79,450	100.0	0	0.0	WEBERT, MICHAEL J. (REP)
19	80,080	80,080	100.0	0	0.0	AUSTIN, TERRY L. (REP)
20	79,334	79,334	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, RICHARD P. 'DICKIE' (REP)
21	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	Convirs-Fowler, Kelly K. (Dem)
22	79,307	79,307	100.0	0	0.0	BYRON, KATHY J. (REP)
23	79,330	79,330	100.0	0	0.0	GARRETT, T. SCOTT (REP)
24	79,678	79,678	100.0	0	0.0	CLINE, BEN L. (REP)
25	80,011	80,011	100.0	0	0.0	LANDES, R. STEVEN 'STEVE' (REP)
26	80,688	80,688	100.0	0	0.0	WILT, TONY O. (REP)
27	79,381	49,126	61.9	30,255	38.1	ROBINSON, ROXANN L. (REP)
28	79,304	79,304	100.0	0	0.0	THOMAS, ROBERT M. 'BOB' (REP)
29	79,851	79,851	100.0	0	0.0	COLLINS, CHRISTOPHER E. (REP)
30	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	FREITAS, NICHOLAS J. (REP)
31	79,210	79,210	100.0	0	0.0	Guzman, Elizabeth R. (Dem)
32	80,268	80,268	100.0	0	0.0	Reid, David A. (Dem)
33	80,550	80,550	100.0	0	0.0	LAROCK, DAVE A. (REP)
34	80,722	80,722	100.0	0	0.0	Murphy, Kathleen J. (Dem)
35	80,213	80,213	100.0	0	0.0	Keam, Mark L. (Dem)
36	79,746	79,746	100.0	0	0.0	Plum, Kenneth R. (Dem)
37	80,255	80,255	100.0	0	0.0	Bulova, David L. (Dem)
38	80,758	80,758	100.0	0	0.0	Kory, L. Kaye (Dem)
39	80,710	80,710	100.0	0	0.0	Watts, Vivian E. (Dem)
40	80,729	80,729	100.0	0	0.0	HUGO, TIMOTHY D. (REP)
41	80,792	80,792	100.0	0	0.0	Filler-Corn, Eileen (Dem)
42	79,964	79,964	100.0	0	0.0	Tran, Kathy K. L. (Dem)
43	80,750	80,750	100.0	0	0.0	Sickles, Mark D. (Dem)
44	80,796	80,796	100.0	0	0.0	Krizek, Paul E. (Dem)
45	80,240	80,240	100.0	0	0.0	Levine, Mark H. (Dem)
46	80,333	80,333	100.0	0	0.0	Herring, Charniele L. (Dem)
47	80,757	80,757	100.0	0	0.0	Hope, Patrick A. (Dem)
48	79,492	79,492	100.0	0	0.0	Sullivan, R.C. (Rip), Jr. (Dem)
49	80,609	80,609	100.0	0	0.0	Lopez, Alfonso H. (Dem)
50	80,677	80,677	100.0	0	0.0	Carter, Lee J. (Dem)
51	80,372	80,372	100.0	0	0.0	Ayala, Hala S. (Dem)
52	79,290	79,290	100.0	0	0.0	Torian, Luke E. (Dem)
53	80,049	80,049	100.0	0	0.0	Simon, Marcus B. (Dem)
54	80,155	80,155	100.0	0	0.0	ORROCK, ROBERT D. 'BOBBY' (REP)
55	79,578	79,578	100.0	0	0.0	FOWLER, H. F. 'BUDDY', JR. (REP)
56	79,271	62,624	79.0	16,647	21.0	MCGUIRE, JOHN J., III (REP)
57	80,778	80,778	100.0	0	0.0	Toscano, David J. (Dem)
58	80,767	80,767	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, ROBERT B., III (REP)
59	79,345	79,345	100.0	0	0.0	FARISS, C. MATTHEW (REP)
60	79,219	79,219	100.0	0	0.0	EDMUNDS, JAMES E., II (REP)

61	79,792	79,792	100.0	0	0.0	WRIGHT, THOMAS C. 'TOMMY', JR. (REP)
62	79,677	50,459	63.3	29,218	36.7	INGRAM, RILEY EDWARD (REP)
63	79,602	63,839	80.2	15,763	19.8	@Aird, Lashrecse D. (Dem)
64	79,262	62,164	78.4	17,098	21.6	BREWER, EMILY M. (REP)
65	79,364	61,942	78.0	17,422	22.0	WARE, R. LEE, JR. (REP)
66	79,397	75,257	94.8	4,140	5.2	COX, M. KIRKLAND 'KIRK' (REP)
67	79,633	79,633	100.0	0	0.0	Delaney, Karrie K. (Dem)
68	79,611	51,160	64.3	28,451	35.7	Adams, Dawn M. (Dem)
69	79,386	54,600	68.8	24,786	31.2	@Carr, Betsy B. (Dem)
70	79,382	30,813	38.8	48,569	61.2	@McQuinn, Delores L. (Dem)
71	80,322	68,893	85.8	11,429	14.2	@Bourne, Jeff M. (Dem)
72	80,764	48,857	60.5	31,907	39.5	VanValkenburg, Schuyler T. (Dem)
73	80,135	55,117	68.8	25,018	31.2	Rodman, Debra H. (Dem)
74	79,594	46,364	58.3	33,230	41.7	@Bagby, Lamont (Dem)
75	79,295	67,902	85.6	11,393	14.4	Tyler, Roslyn C. (Dem)
76	80,313	49,148	61.2	31,165	38.8	JONES, S. C. 'CHRIS' (REP)
77	79,627	62,684	78.7	16,943	21.3	@Hayes, C. E. 'Cliff', Jr. (Dem)
78	80,475	76,185	94.7	4,290	5.3	LEFTWICH, J. A. 'JAY', JR. (REP)
79	80,243	68,248	85.1	11,995	14.9	Heretick, Steve E. (Dem)
80	80,705	61,465	76.2	19,240	23.8	@James, Matthew (Dem)
81	79,438	57,377	72.2	22,061	27.8	KNIGHT, BARRY D. (REP)
82	80,463	69,680	86.6	10,783	13.4	MIYARES, JASON S. (REP)
83	79,538	51,801	65.1	27,737	34.9	STOLLE, CHRIS P. (REP)
84	80,281	73,307	91.3	6,974	8.7	DAVIS, GLENN R., JR. (REP)
85	80,800	71,982	89.1	8,818	10.9	Turpin, Cheryl B. (Dem)
86	80,747	80,747	100.0	0	0.0	Boysko, Jennifer B. (Dem)
87	79,275	79,275	100.0	0	0.0	Bell, John J. (Dem)
88	80,191	80,191	100.0	0	0.0	COLE, MARK L. (REP)
89	79,614	49,026	61.6	30,588	38.4	@Jones, Jerrauld C. 'Jay' (Dem)
90	80,425	34,775	43.2	45,650	56.8	@Lindsey, Joseph C. 'Joe' (Dem)
91	79,229	65,178	82.3	14,051	17.7	HELSEL, GORDON C., JR. (REP)
92	79,689	73,144	91.8	6,545	8.2	@Ward, Jeion A. (Dem)
93	79,211	71,996	90.9	7,215	9.1	Mullin, Michael P. (Dem)
94	79,429	55,040	69.3	24,389	30.7	YANCEY, DAVID E. (REP)
95	80,071	55,278	69.0	24,793	31.0	@Price, Marcia S. 'Cia' (Dem)
96	79,217	79,217	100.0	0	0.0	POGGE, BRENDA L. (REP)
97	79,386	79,386	100.0	0	0.0	PEACE, CHRISTOPHER K. (REP)
98	79,251	79,251	100.0	0	0.0	HODGES, M. KEITH (REP)
99	80,332	80,332	100.0	0	0.0	RANSOME, MARGARET BEVANS (REP)
100	80,037	75,165	93.9	4,872	6.1	BLOXOM, ROBERT S., JR. (REP)

+++++  
 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with 2018-PTF-PropA(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H345 2018-PTF-PropA-Nov02

	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff
SUM	8,001,024	7,337,589	91.7	663,435	8.3

Summary:

1. 67 Districts the same in both plans.
2. 33 Districts different between plans.
3. 6 Districts LT 10% different.
4. 2 Districts GT 50% different.

Note: @ indicates a challenged district. Incumbents as of 2018.  
 Note: Comparison is based upon identity of district number only.

# EXHIBIT D



DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with 2018-PTF-PropB(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H346 2018-PTF-PropB-Nov02

Dst	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff	Member
1	80,508	80,508	100.0	0	0.0	KILGORE, TERRY G. (REP)
2	79,491	79,491	100.0	0	0.0	Foy, Jennifer D. Carroll (Dem)
3	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	MOREFIELD, JAMES W. 'WILL' (REP)
4	80,446	80,446	100.0	0	0.0	PILLION, TODD E. (REP)
5	80,600	80,600	100.0	0	0.0	O'QUINN, ISRAEL D. (REP)
6	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	CAMPBELL, JEFFREY L. (REP)
7	80,146	80,146	100.0	0	0.0	RUSH, LARRY N. 'NICK' (REP)
8	80,685	80,685	100.0	0	0.0	HABEEB, GREGORY D. (REP)
9	80,574	80,574	100.0	0	0.0	POINDEXTER, CHARLES D. (REP)
10	80,617	80,617	100.0	0	0.0	Gooditis, Wendy W. (Dem)
11	80,132	80,132	100.0	0	0.0	Rasoul, S. (Sam) (Dem)
12	80,492	80,492	100.0	0	0.0	Hurst, Chris L. (Dem)
13	80,579	80,579	100.0	0	0.0	Roem, Danica A. (Dem)
14	79,407	79,407	100.0	0	0.0	MARSHALL, D. W. 'DANNY', III (REP)
15	80,630	80,630	100.0	0	0.0	GILBERT, C. TODD (REP)
16	79,692	79,692	100.0	0	0.0	ADAMS, LES R. (REP)
17	80,631	80,631	100.0	0	0.0	HEAD, CHRISTOPHER T. (REP)
18	79,450	79,450	100.0	0	0.0	WEBERT, MICHAEL J. (REP)
19	80,080	80,080	100.0	0	0.0	AUSTIN, TERRY L. (REP)
20	79,334	79,334	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, RICHARD P. 'DICKIE' (REP)
21	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	Convirs-Fowler, Kelly K. (Dem)
22	79,307	79,307	100.0	0	0.0	BYRON, KATHY J. (REP)
23	79,330	79,330	100.0	0	0.0	GARRETT, T. SCOTT (REP)
24	79,678	79,678	100.0	0	0.0	CLINE, BEN L. (REP)
25	80,011	80,011	100.0	0	0.0	LANDES, R. STEVEN 'STEVE' (REP)
26	80,688	80,688	100.0	0	0.0	WILT, TONY O. (REP)
27	79,381	58,525	73.7	20,856	26.3	ROBINSON, ROXANN L. (REP)
28	79,304	79,304	100.0	0	0.0	THOMAS, ROBERT M. 'BOB' (REP)
29	79,851	79,851	100.0	0	0.0	COLLINS, CHRISTOPHER E. (REP)
30	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	FREITAS, NICHOLAS J. (REP)
31	79,210	79,210	100.0	0	0.0	Guzman, Elizabeth R. (Dem)
32	80,268	80,268	100.0	0	0.0	Reid, David A. (Dem)
33	80,550	80,550	100.0	0	0.0	LAROCK, DAVE A. (REP)
34	80,722	80,722	100.0	0	0.0	Murphy, Kathleen J. (Dem)
35	80,213	80,213	100.0	0	0.0	Keam, Mark L. (Dem)
36	79,746	79,746	100.0	0	0.0	Plum, Kenneth R. (Dem)
37	80,255	80,255	100.0	0	0.0	Bulova, David L. (Dem)
38	80,758	80,758	100.0	0	0.0	Kory, L. Kaye (Dem)
39	80,710	80,710	100.0	0	0.0	Watts, Vivian E. (Dem)
40	80,729	80,729	100.0	0	0.0	HUGO, TIMOTHY D. (REP)
41	80,792	80,792	100.0	0	0.0	Filler-Corn, Eileen (Dem)
42	79,964	79,964	100.0	0	0.0	Tran, Kathy K. L. (Dem)
43	80,750	80,750	100.0	0	0.0	Sickles, Mark D. (Dem)
44	80,796	80,796	100.0	0	0.0	Krizek, Paul E. (Dem)
45	80,240	80,240	100.0	0	0.0	Levine, Mark H. (Dem)
46	80,333	80,333	100.0	0	0.0	Herring, Charniele L. (Dem)
47	80,757	80,757	100.0	0	0.0	Hope, Patrick A. (Dem)
48	79,492	79,492	100.0	0	0.0	Sullivan, R.C. (Rip), Jr. (Dem)
49	80,609	80,609	100.0	0	0.0	Lopez, Alfonso H. (Dem)
50	80,677	80,677	100.0	0	0.0	Carter, Lee J. (Dem)
51	80,372	80,372	100.0	0	0.0	Ayala, Hala S. (Dem)
52	79,290	79,290	100.0	0	0.0	Torian, Luke E. (Dem)
53	80,049	80,049	100.0	0	0.0	Simon, Marcus B. (Dem)
54	80,155	80,155	100.0	0	0.0	ORROCK, ROBERT D. 'BOBBY' (REP)
55	79,578	79,578	100.0	0	0.0	FOWLER, H. F. 'BUDDY', JR. (REP)
56	79,271	73,637	92.9	5,634	7.1	MCGUIRE, JOHN J., III (REP)
57	80,778	80,778	100.0	0	0.0	Toscano, David J. (Dem)
58	80,767	80,767	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, ROBERT B., III (REP)
59	79,345	79,345	100.0	0	0.0	FARISS, C. MATTHEW (REP)
60	79,219	79,219	100.0	0	0.0	EDMUNDS, JAMES E., II (REP)

61	79,792	79,792	100.0	0	0.0	WRIGHT, THOMAS C. 'TOMMY', JR. (REP)
62	79,677	49,756	62.4	29,921	37.6	INGRAM, RILEY EDWARD (REP)
63	79,602	63,839	80.2	15,763	19.8	@Aird, Lashrecse D. (Dem)
64	79,262	62,164	78.4	17,098	21.6	BREWER, EMILY M. (REP)
65	79,364	73,360	92.4	6,004	7.6	WARE, R. LEE, JR. (REP)
66	79,397	79,397	100.0	0	0.0	COX, M. KIRKLAND 'KIRK' (REP)
67	79,633	79,633	100.0	0	0.0	Delaney, Karrie K. (Dem)
68	79,611	62,389	78.4	17,222	21.6	Adams, Dawn M. (Dem)
69	79,386	57,386	72.3	22,000	27.7	@Carr, Betsy B. (Dem)
70	79,382	30,176	38.0	49,206	62.0	@McQuinn, Delores L. (Dem)
71	80,322	68,893	85.8	11,429	14.2	@Bourne, Jeff M. (Dem)
72	80,764	49,605	61.4	31,159	38.6	VanValkenburg, Schuyler T. (Dem)
73	80,135	55,117	68.8	25,018	31.2	Rodman, Debra H. (Dem)
74	79,594	56,564	71.1	23,030	28.9	@Bagby, Lamont (Dem)
75	79,295	67,902	85.6	11,393	14.4	Tyler, Roslyn C. (Dem)
76	80,313	49,148	61.2	31,165	38.8	JONES, S. C. 'CHRIS' (REP)
77	79,627	62,684	78.7	16,943	21.3	@Hayes, C. E. 'Cliff', Jr. (Dem)
78	80,475	76,185	94.7	4,290	5.3	LEFTWICH, J. A. 'JAY', JR. (REP)
79	80,243	68,248	85.1	11,995	14.9	Heretick, Steve E. (Dem)
80	80,705	61,465	76.2	19,240	23.8	@James, Matthew (Dem)
81	79,438	57,377	72.2	22,061	27.8	KNIGHT, BARRY D. (REP)
82	80,463	69,680	86.6	10,783	13.4	MIYARES, JASON S. (REP)
83	79,538	51,801	65.1	27,737	34.9	STOLLE, CHRIS P. (REP)
84	80,281	73,307	91.3	6,974	8.7	DAVIS, GLENN R., JR. (REP)
85	80,800	71,982	89.1	8,818	10.9	Turpin, Cheryl B. (Dem)
86	80,747	80,747	100.0	0	0.0	Boysko, Jennifer B. (Dem)
87	79,275	79,275	100.0	0	0.0	Bell, John J. (Dem)
88	80,191	80,191	100.0	0	0.0	COLE, MARK L. (REP)
89	79,614	49,026	61.6	30,588	38.4	@Jones, Jerrauld C. 'Jay' (Dem)
90	80,425	34,775	43.2	45,650	56.8	@Lindsey, Joseph C. 'Joe' (Dem)
91	79,229	65,178	82.3	14,051	17.7	HELSEL, GORDON C., JR. (REP)
92	79,689	73,144	91.8	6,545	8.2	@Ward, Jeion A. (Dem)
93	79,211	71,996	90.9	7,215	9.1	Mullin, Michael P. (Dem)
94	79,429	55,040	69.3	24,389	30.7	YANCEY, DAVID E. (REP)
95	80,071	55,278	69.0	24,793	31.0	@Price, Marcia S. 'Cia' (Dem)
96	79,217	79,217	100.0	0	0.0	POGGE, BRENDA L. (REP)
97	79,386	79,386	100.0	0	0.0	PEACE, CHRISTOPHER K. (REP)
98	79,251	79,251	100.0	0	0.0	HODGES, M. KEITH (REP)
99	80,332	80,332	100.0	0	0.0	RANSOME, MARGARET BEVANS (REP)
100	80,037	75,165	93.9	4,872	6.1	BLOXOM, ROBERT S., JR. (REP)

+++++  
 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with 2018-PTF-PropB(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H346 2018-PTF-PropB-Nov02

	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff
SUM	8,001,024	7,397,182	92.5	603,842	7.5

Summary:

1. 68 Districts the same in both plans.
2. 32 Districts different between plans.
3. 7 Districts LT 10% different.
4. 2 Districts GT 50% different.

Note: @ indicates a challenged district. Incumbents as of 2018.  
 Note: Comparison is based upon identity of district number only.

# EXHIBIT E

DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with DLS-9b\_2018-HB7002-2-PropSub(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H343 DLS-9b\_2018-HB7002-2-PropSub

Dst	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff	Member
1	80,508	80,508	100.0	0	0.0	KILGORE, TERRY G. (REP)
2	79,491	79,491	100.0	0	0.0	Foy, Jennifer D. Carroll (Dem)
3	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	MOREFIELD, JAMES W. 'WILL' (REP)
4	80,446	80,446	100.0	0	0.0	PILLION, TODD E. (REP)
5	80,600	80,600	100.0	0	0.0	O'QUINN, ISRAEL D. (REP)
6	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	CAMPBELL, JEFFREY L. (REP)
7	80,146	80,146	100.0	0	0.0	RUSH, LARRY N. 'NICK' (REP)
8	80,685	80,685	100.0	0	0.0	HABEEB, GREGORY D. (REP)
9	80,574	80,574	100.0	0	0.0	POINDEXTER, CHARLES D. (REP)
10	80,617	80,617	100.0	0	0.0	Gooditis, Wendy W. (Dem)
11	80,132	80,132	100.0	0	0.0	Rasoul, S. (Sam) (Dem)
12	80,492	80,492	100.0	0	0.0	Hurst, Chris L. (Dem)
13	80,579	80,579	100.0	0	0.0	Roem, Danica A. (Dem)
14	79,407	79,407	100.0	0	0.0	MARSHALL, D. W. 'DANNY', III (REP)
15	80,630	80,630	100.0	0	0.0	GILBERT, C. TODD (REP)
16	79,692	79,692	100.0	0	0.0	ADAMS, LES R. (REP)
17	80,631	80,631	100.0	0	0.0	HEAD, CHRISTOPHER T. (REP)
18	79,450	79,450	100.0	0	0.0	WEBERT, MICHAEL J. (REP)
19	80,080	80,080	100.0	0	0.0	AUSTIN, TERRY L. (REP)
20	79,334	79,334	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, RICHARD P. 'DICKIE' (REP)
21	79,608	79,608	100.0	0	0.0	Convirs-Fowler, Kelly K. (Dem)
22	79,307	79,307	100.0	0	0.0	BYRON, KATHY J. (REP)
23	79,330	79,330	100.0	0	0.0	GARRETT, T. SCOTT (REP)
24	79,678	79,678	100.0	0	0.0	CLINE, BEN L. (REP)
25	80,011	80,011	100.0	0	0.0	LANDES, R. STEVEN 'STEVE' (REP)
26	80,688	80,688	100.0	0	0.0	WILT, TONY O. (REP)
27	79,381	58,909	74.2	20,472	25.8	ROBINSON, ROXANN L. (REP)
28	79,304	79,304	100.0	0	0.0	THOMAS, ROBERT M. 'BOB' (REP)
29	79,851	79,851	100.0	0	0.0	COLLINS, CHRISTOPHER E. (REP)
30	80,583	80,583	100.0	0	0.0	FREITAS, NICHOLAS J. (REP)
31	79,210	79,210	100.0	0	0.0	Guzman, Elizabeth R. (Dem)
32	80,268	80,268	100.0	0	0.0	Reid, David A. (Dem)
33	80,550	80,550	100.0	0	0.0	LAROCK, DAVE A. (REP)
34	80,722	80,722	100.0	0	0.0	Murphy, Kathleen J. (Dem)
35	80,213	80,213	100.0	0	0.0	Keam, Mark L. (Dem)
36	79,746	79,746	100.0	0	0.0	Plum, Kenneth R. (Dem)
37	80,255	80,255	100.0	0	0.0	Bulova, David L. (Dem)
38	80,758	80,758	100.0	0	0.0	Kory, L. Kaye (Dem)
39	80,710	80,710	100.0	0	0.0	Watts, Vivian E. (Dem)
40	80,729	80,729	100.0	0	0.0	HUGO, TIMOTHY D. (REP)
41	80,792	80,792	100.0	0	0.0	Filler-Corn, Eileen (Dem)
42	79,964	79,964	100.0	0	0.0	Tran, Kathy K. L. (Dem)
43	80,750	80,750	100.0	0	0.0	Sickles, Mark D. (Dem)
44	80,796	80,796	100.0	0	0.0	Krizek, Paul E. (Dem)
45	80,240	80,240	100.0	0	0.0	Levine, Mark H. (Dem)
46	80,333	80,333	100.0	0	0.0	Herring, Charniele L. (Dem)
47	80,757	80,757	100.0	0	0.0	Hope, Patrick A. (Dem)
48	79,492	79,492	100.0	0	0.0	Sullivan, R.C. (Rip), Jr. (Dem)
49	80,609	80,609	100.0	0	0.0	Lopez, Alfonso H. (Dem)
50	80,677	80,677	100.0	0	0.0	Carter, Lee J. (Dem)
51	80,372	80,372	100.0	0	0.0	Ayala, Hala S. (Dem)
52	79,290	79,290	100.0	0	0.0	Torian, Luke E. (Dem)
53	80,049	80,049	100.0	0	0.0	Simon, Marcus B. (Dem)
54	80,155	80,155	100.0	0	0.0	ORROCK, ROBERT D. 'BOBBY' (REP)
55	79,578	79,578	100.0	0	0.0	FOWLER, H. F. 'BUDDY', JR. (REP)
56	79,271	79,271	100.0	0	0.0	MCGUIRE, JOHN J., III (REP)
57	80,778	80,778	100.0	0	0.0	Toscano, David J. (Dem)
58	80,767	80,767	100.0	0	0.0	BELL, ROBERT B., III (REP)
59	79,345	79,345	100.0	0	0.0	FARISS, C. MATTHEW (REP)
60	79,219	79,219	100.0	0	0.0	EDMUNDS, JAMES E., II (REP)

61	79,792	79,792	100.0	0	0.0	WRIGHT, THOMAS C. 'TOMMY', JR. (REP)
62	79,677	58,261	73.1	21,416	26.9	INGRAM, RILEY EDWARD (REP)
63	79,602	63,839	80.2	15,763	19.8	@Aird, Lashrecse D. (Dem)
64	79,262	58,234	73.5	21,028	26.5	BREWER, EMILY M. (REP)
65	79,364	79,364	100.0	0	0.0	WARE, R. LEE, JR. (REP)
66	79,397	60,791	76.6	18,606	23.4	COX, M. KIRKLAND 'KIRK' (REP)
67	79,633	79,633	100.0	0	0.0	Delaney, Karrie K. (Dem)
68	79,611	56,736	71.3	22,875	28.7	Adams, Dawn M. (Dem)
69	79,386	59,301	74.7	20,085	25.3	@Carr, Betsy B. (Dem)
70	79,382	53,434	67.3	25,948	32.7	@McQuinn, Delores L. (Dem)
71	80,322	61,794	76.9	18,528	23.1	@Bourne, Jeff M. (Dem)
72	80,764	62,068	76.9	18,696	23.1	VanValkenburg, Schuyler T. (Dem)
73	80,135	58,693	73.2	21,442	26.8	Rodman, Debra H. (Dem)
74	79,594	52,352	65.8	27,242	34.2	@Bagby, Lamont (Dem)
75	79,295	69,109	87.2	10,186	12.8	Tyler, Roslyn C. (Dem)
76	80,313	62,048	77.3	18,265	22.7	JONES, S. C. 'CHRIS' (REP)
77	79,627	62,684	78.7	16,943	21.3	@Hayes, C. E. 'Cliff', Jr. (Dem)
78	80,475	77,562	96.4	2,913	3.6	LEFTWICH, J. A. 'JAY', JR. (REP)
79	80,243	50,257	62.6	29,986	37.4	Heretick, Steve E. (Dem)
80	80,705	52,399	64.9	28,306	35.1	@James, Matthew (Dem)
81	79,438	67,186	84.6	12,252	15.4	KNIGHT, BARRY D. (REP)
82	80,463	80,463	100.0	0	0.0	MIYARES, JASON S. (REP)
83	79,538	78,490	98.7	1,048	1.3	STOLLE, CHRIS P. (REP)
84	80,281	80,281	100.0	0	0.0	DAVIS, GLENN R., JR. (REP)
85	80,800	78,635	97.3	2,165	2.7	Turpin, Cheryl B. (Dem)
86	80,747	80,747	100.0	0	0.0	Boysko, Jennifer B. (Dem)
87	79,275	79,275	100.0	0	0.0	Bell, John J. (Dem)
88	80,191	80,191	100.0	0	0.0	COLE, MARK L. (REP)
89	79,614	72,859	91.5	6,755	8.5	@Jones, Jerrauld C. 'Jay' (Dem)
90	80,425	73,745	91.7	6,680	8.3	@Lindsey, Joseph C. 'Joe' (Dem)
91	79,229	60,905	76.9	18,324	23.1	HELSEL, GORDON C., JR. (REP)
92	79,689	63,918	80.2	15,771	19.8	@Ward, Jeion A. (Dem)
93	79,211	60,830	76.8	18,381	23.2	Mullin, Michael P. (Dem)
94	79,429	71,908	90.5	7,521	9.5	YANCEY, DAVID E. (REP)
95	80,071	58,585	73.2	21,486	26.8	@Price, Marcia S. 'Cia' (Dem)
96	79,217	79,217	100.0	0	0.0	POGGE, BRENDA L. (REP)
97	79,386	72,825	91.7	6,561	8.3	PEACE, CHRISTOPHER K. (REP)
98	79,251	79,251	100.0	0	0.0	HODGES, M. KEITH (REP)
99	80,332	80,332	100.0	0	0.0	RANSOME, MARGARET BEVANS (REP)
100	80,037	78,544	98.1	1,493	1.9	BLOXOM, ROBERT S., JR. (REP)

+++++  
 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005 with DLS-9b\_2018-HB7002-2-PropSub(2018 inc.)

Plan 1: H311 DLS 1\_ENACTED-HB5005  
 Plan 2: H343 DLS-9b\_2018-HB7002-2-PropSub

	TotalPop	Same Dst	%Same	Diff Dst	%Diff
SUM	8,001,024	7,523,887	94.0	477,137	6.0

Summary:

1. 70 Districts the same in both plans.
2. 30 Districts different between plans.
3. 8 Districts LT 10% different.
4. 0 Districts GT 50% different.

Note: @ indicates a challenged district. Incumbents as of 2018.  
 Note: Comparison is based upon identity of district number only.