

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

ALABAMA LEGISLATIVE BLACK)	
CAUCUS, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-691
)	(Three-Judge Court)
v.)	
)	
THE STATE OF ALABAMA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ALABAMA DEMOCRATIC)	
CONFERENCE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-1081
)	(Three-Judge Court)
v.)	
)	
THE STATE OF ALABAMA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**DEFENDANTS’ RESPONSE TO THE COURT’S
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (Doc. 275)**

Defendants respond to the Court’s requests for information as follows, noting that some items are being addressed by the Plaintiffs in separate filings. Defendants have separately filed a Notice of Filing (doc. 277) that includes an index (doc. 277-1) of the additional Supplemental Exhibits Defendants submit in response to the Court’s requests.

- 1. The precinct lines for Montgomery County in Alabama’s Supp. Ex. 9 differ from the plaintiffs’ exhibits. The parties are directed to stipulate to the reason for this difference and provide a corrected map, if necessary.**

Defendants’ Supp. Ex. 9 reflects the *current* precinct lines for Montgomery County. The precinct lines in Def. Supp. Ex. 9 post-date the precinct lines that were loaded into the system at the Reapportionment Office and used by the drafters, whereas the Plaintiffs’ exhibits reflect the precinct lines that were in the system when the plans were drafted (the lines that were available to the drafters. Defendants agree that the precinct lines in the system when the plans were drafted are the relevant precinct lines for purposes of this case. That said, the minor differences in the two sets of lines do not take away from the point that Defendants made through Alabama’s Supp. Ex. 9: the “crab claw” part of the shape of SD26 follows precinct lines. *See* Def. Supp. Ex. 59. That precinct, 5E Fitzpatrick Elementary School Voting District, is where the SD26 incumbent lives. (See Def. Supp. Ex. 5, filed previously).

In response to item number 3 in doc. 275, ALBC is submitting a map of SD26 that contains the correct precinct lines. In addition, as discussed below for item no. 3, the Defendants are submitting a map from the Reapportionment Office which shows the Montgomery County precinct lines loaded in that system; in the small areas where SD26 deviates from the precincts lines, its border becomes red. Def. Supp. Ex. 59.

2. Alabama is directed to inform the court whether the Montgomery County Commission lines in Alabama's Supp. Ex. 10 are accurate as of the time of redistricting. If the map is accurate, Alabama is directed to produce an overlay map of the County Commission lines with Senate District 26 that includes legible road names, highway names, *etc.*

Alabama's Supp. Ex. 10 reflects the current County Commission lines. Those lines were adopted by the Commission in September 2011 and were in place at the time of the 2012 redistricting. It is not the Defendants' understanding that the County Commission lines were used by the drafters, but the lines do show that the "lagoon" just below County Commission district 4 is a feature in various voting districts created by different entities.

The streets are missing from the bottom portion of Alabama's Supp. Ex. 10. The Defendants have secured a larger copy of the County Commission lines with streets from the county and submit that as Def. Supp. Ex. 11. (Defendants have multiple poster-sized copies of Def. Supp. Ex. 11 that they will make available to the Court and counsel at the scheduled argument).

Pursuant to the Court's directions, the Defendants also attach an overlay map of the County Commission lines with Senate District 26 that includes just those lines and the interstates and one that includes detailed streets. (See Def. Supp. Ex. 12, 13). The Defendants further offer close-ups of the detailed street maps for the "lagoon" and the "crab claw." (See Def. Supp. Ex. 14, 15).

- 3. The parties are directed to produce a map for each majority-black district showing all the precinct lines in that district, including precinct names. The Caucus plaintiffs submitted individual maps of each split precinct, but no maps that show the relation of all the precincts in and on the borders of a given district.**

The ALBC Plaintiffs are producing maps that comply with this request. Defendants do not at this time have objections to the maps that the ALBC plaintiffs submit to the Court as Exhibits APSX 398-447. Defendants have asked the Reapportionment Office to produce comparable maps to ensure that the precinct lines on the ALBC maps correspond with the precinct lines in the Reapportionment Office's system (and which were used by the drafters). The Reapportionment Office does not have the capability at this time to add precinct names to their maps (or numbers with an index, as ALBC was able to provide), but its maps will serve as a check on accuracy for precinct lines and will also present some of the same information in what Defendants believe will be a clearer fashion. Those maps are submitted as Def. Supp. Exs. 29-63.

- 4. The parties are directed to produce a list of incumbent conflicts for the alternative redistricting plans admitted in evidence, similar to the lists provided by the Caucus plaintiffs in their supplemental exhibits APSX 34 and APSX 43.**

Defendants submit reports received from the Reapportionment Office as collective Def. Supp. Ex. 16.

- 5. The parties are directed to produce four maps of the House District incumbent locations for districts that are part of Madison, Jefferson, Montgomery, and Mobile Counties. These maps should be similar to the statewide maps provided by Alabama, Def. Supp. Exs. 2 and 5, but with greater detail.**

The four maps requested are submitted as follows:

Def. Supp. Ex. 17 - Incumbent location for Madison Co. House Districts
Def. Supp. Ex. 18 - Incumbent location for Jefferson Co. House Districts
Def. Supp. Ex. 19 - Incumbent location for Montgomery Co. House Districts
Def. Supp. Ex. 20 - Incumbent location for Mobile Co. House Districts

- 6. The parties are directed to produce a list of the representatives and senators, their party affiliations and race at the time of redistricting, and a list of the representatives and senators, their party affiliations and race after the November 2014 election.**

Defendants submit the requested information as follows:

Def. Supp. Ex. 21 - 2010 House of Representatives sorted by name
Def. Supp. Ex. 22 - 2010 House of Representatives sorted by district
Def. Supp. Ex. 23 - 2014 House of Representatives sorted by name
Def. Supp. Ex. 24 - 2014 House of Representatives sorted by district
Def. Supp. Ex. 25 - 2010 Senate sorted by name
Def. Supp. Ex. 26 - 2010 Senate sorted by district
Def. Supp. Ex. 27 - 2014 Senate sorted by name
Def. Supp. Ex. 28 - 2014 Senate sorted by district

- 7. The Conference plaintiffs provided data on the numbers of black and white populations added and removed from each majority-black district. ADC Supp. Exs. 4, 5. These numbers do not line up with Alabama's submitted statistics. For instance, in Senate District 26, Alabama submitted evidence that the net increase in white population was 36 people. *Compare* SDX 400 at 3 *with* SDX 402 at 1. But, according to the Conference plaintiffs' supplemental exhibit, there were 11,473 whites added and 11,837 whites removed from Senate District 26, which is a net decrease. The parties are directed to stipulate to the correct population figures with respect to each majority-black district.**

Counsel for Defendants and counsel for ADC have discussed this matter and the parties agree as set out below. Defendants attach ADC Supp. Exs. 4A and 5A, referred to in the following paragraph, as a courtesy:

SDX 400 and 402 use the 2010 Census categories “White” and “Black or African American.” These Census categories were used to draw the plans passed by the Legislature. ADC Supp. Exs. 4 and 5 use the 2010 Census categories “Non-Hispanic White” and “Non-Hispanic Black.” The parties stipulate that SDX 400 and 402, ADC Supp. Exs. 4 and 5, and also ADC Supp. Exs. 4A and 5A (attached hereto), accurately present the Census data to which they refer. The ADC adds that the challenged Senate plan thus added to Senate District 26 a net total of 36 White persons, and removed a net total of 364 Non-Hispanic White persons.

Respectfully submitted,

LUTHER STRANGE
Attorney General of Alabama

By:

s/ Andrew L. Brasher

Andrew L. Brasher (ASB-4325-W73B)

Solicitor General

Megan Kirkpatrick (ASB-2652-M66K)

James W. Davis (ASB-4063-I58J)

Misty S. Fairbanks Messick (ASB-1813-T71F)

Assistant Attorneys General

Office of the Attorney General

State of Alabama

Post Office Box 300152

Montgomery, AL 36130-0152

Telephone: 334-242-7300

abrasher@ago.state.al.us

mkirkpatrick@ago.state.al.us

jimdavis@ago.state.al.us
mmessick@ago.state.al.us

John J. Park, Jr. (ASB-xxxx-P62J)
Deputy Attorney General
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: 678-347-2200
Fax: 678-347-2210
jjp@sblaw.net

Counsel for the State Defendants

David B. Byrne, Jr.
Legal Advisor to Governor Robert Bentley
Office of the Governor
Alabama State Capitol
600 Dexter Avenue, Suite NB-05
Montgomery, Alabama 36130
Telephone: 334-242-7120
Fax: 334-242-2335
david.byrne@governor.alabama.gov

Algert S. Agricola, Jr.
Ryals, Donaldson & Agricola, P.C.
60 Commerce Street, Suite 1400
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
Telephone: 334-834-5290
Fax: 334-834-5297
aagricola@rdafirm.com

Counsel for the State of Alabama and Governor Bentley

s/ Dorman Walker
Dorman Walker (ASB-0717-R81J)
Balch & Bingham LLP
Post Office Box 78

Montgomery, AL 36101-0078

Telephone: 334-834-6500

Fax: 334-269-3115

dwalker@balch.com

**Counsel for Defendants-Intervenors Jim
McClendon, Gerald Dial and Randy Davis**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on August 19, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel of record:

James U. Blacksher
Attorney at Law
Post Office Box 636
Birmingham, Alabama 35201
jblacksher@ns.sympatico.ca

William F. Patty
The Gardner Firm, P.C.
Post Office Box 991
Montgomery, Alabama 36101-0991
bpatty@thegardnerfirm.com

Edward Still
Edward Still Law Firm LLC
429 Green Springs Hwy, Ste 161-304
Birmingham, Alabama 35209
still@votelaw.com

Walter S. Turner
Walter S. Turner, Esq.
Post Office Box 6142
Montgomery, Alabama 36106
wsthayer@juno.com

U.W. Clemon
White Arnold & Dowd, P.C.
2025 Third Avenue North, Suite 500
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
uwclemon@whitearnolddowd.com

John K. Tanner
Attorney at Law
3743 Military Road, NW
Washington, DC 20015
john.k.tanner@gmail.com

James H. Anderson
Joel T. Caldwell
Copeland, Franco, Screws & Gill,
P.A.
Post Office Box 347
Montgomery, Alabama 36101-0347
anderson@copelandfranco.com
caldwell@copelandfranco.com

Richard H. Pildes
40 Washington Square South
New York, NY 10012-1005

Joe M. Reed
Joe M. Reed & Associates, LLC
524 South Union Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
joemreed@wowway.net

s/ Andrew L. Brasher
Of Counsel

Alabama House Districts
 Population Changes by Major Racial Group from 2010 to 2012
 Blocks Added, Removed, Remained the Same

DISTRICT	ADDED					SAME					REMOVED				
	POPA	WHTA	BLKA	WHTA%	BLKA%	POPS	WHTS	BLKS	WHTS%	BLKS%	POPR	WHTR	BLKR	WHTR%	BLKR%
001	17716	17245	140	97.3%	0.8%	28252	19939	6709	70.6%	23.7%	18995	16579	1619	87.3%	8.5%
002	21680	19700	1116	90.9%	5.1%	23806	22605	690	95.0%	2.9%	21656	20989	239	96.9%	1.1%
003	5990	2648	3052	44.2%	51.0%	39982	30612	7861	76.6%	19.7%	2366	2096	211	88.6%	8.9%
004	16641	12249	2795	73.6%	16.8%	28442	23084	2953	81.2%	10.4%	22739	19142	1949	84.2%	8.6%
005	5394	3793	963	70.3%	17.9%	40009	32188	4674	80.5%	11.7%	8486	8132	134	95.8%	1.6%
006	17254	14040	2529	81.4%	14.7%	28542	21245	5065	74.4%	17.7%	29132	14209	12446	48.8%	42.7%
007	15098	13533	471	89.6%	3.1%	29991	25182	1267	84.0%	4.2%	10965	7886	2696	71.9%	24.6%
008	11101	9890	537	89.1%	4.8%	33982	21051	8478	61.9%	24.9%	8369	4545	2527	54.3%	30.2%
009	8699	8356	46	96.1%	0.5%	36384	33950	804	93.3%	2.2%	8987	8386	269	93.3%	3.0%
010	9724	7578	1025	77.9%	10.5%	35386	25560	6276	72.2%	17.7%	14881	11685	1878	78.5%	12.6%
011	14130	12928	154	91.5%	1.1%	31152	29499	108	94.7%	0.3%	12952	12315	88	95.1%	0.7%
012	7690	7281	72	94.7%	0.9%	37380	35159	589	94.1%	1.6%	8418	8006	113	95.1%	1.3%
013	5545	5436	19	98.0%	0.3%	39525	35266	2807	89.2%	7.1%	357	352	0	98.6%	0.0%
014	12312	12037	43	97.8%	0.3%	32761	31003	1146	94.6%	3.5%	7836	7387	326	94.3%	4.2%
015	22911	18063	3270	78.8%	14.3%	22982	19422	3052	84.5%	13.3%	25983	20880	4739	80.4%	18.2%
016	20930	18862	1733	90.1%	8.3%	24150	20726	2948	85.8%	12.2%	16843	14927	1452	88.6%	8.6%
017	7655	6810	664	89.0%	8.7%	37427	35062	1220	93.7%	3.3%	5168	4826	26	93.4%	0.5%
018	8505	7596	692	89.3%	8.1%	37080	31407	1761	84.7%	4.7%	6704	5994	163	89.4%	2.4%
019	19454	10391	7679	53.4%	39.5%	25627	4342	19935	16.9%	77.8%	16753	5718	9655	34.1%	57.6%
020	12212	10624	659	87.0%	5.4%	33596	30408	1099	90.5%	3.3%	13935	12911	385	92.7%	2.8%
021	19015	17439	687	91.7%	3.6%	26599	22069	3193	83.0%	12.0%	22400	9084	10081	40.6%	45.0%
022	351	337	2	96.0%	0.6%	45521	40567	2632	89.1%	5.8%	3438	3066	199	89.2%	5.8%
023	2867	2600	107	90.7%	3.7%	43105	38840	1642	90.1%	3.8%	81	77	0	95.1%	0.0%
024	470	362	5	77.0%	1.1%	45502	40117	683	88.2%	1.5%	2625	2416	25	92.0%	1.0%
025						45709	34352	7310	75.2%	16.0%	19241	14843	3192	77.1%	16.6%
026	4560	3090	68	67.8%	1.5%	41161	33269	640	80.8%	1.6%	11287	9862	94	87.4%	0.8%
027	6013	5448	19	90.6%	0.3%	39814	37444	674	94.0%	1.7%	7474	6664	107	89.2%	1.4%
028	12630	5773	6098	45.7%	48.3%	33257	23919	7370	71.9%	22.2%	7257	7090	14	97.7%	0.2%
029	17139	16221	182	94.6%	1.1%	28771	24804	1427	86.2%	5.0%	14582	6462	6106	44.3%	41.9%
030	4513	4144	259	91.8%	5.7%	40703	37341	1719	91.7%	4.2%	4276	4128	63	96.5%	1.5%
031	8520	7203	1116	84.5%	13.1%	37322	29985	6149	80.3%	16.5%	9112	5190	3628	57.0%	39.8%
032	9417	4235	4540	45.0%	48.2%	36087	12288	22786	34.1%	63.1%	2713	2425	236	89.4%	8.7%
033	6020	4971	936	82.6%	15.5%	39885	29867	8805	74.9%	22.1%	3924	2195	1634	55.9%	41.6%
034	8919	7892	108	88.5%	1.2%	36467	33371	676	91.5%	1.9%	14093	13650	42	96.9%	0.3%
035	14744	10675	3580	72.4%	24.3%	31213	26170	3808	83.8%	12.2%	13399	9125	3083	68.1%	23.0%
036	7923	7083	666	89.4%	8.4%	38034	31494	4938	82.8%	13.0%	8031	6243	1465	77.7%	18.2%
037	15987	9143	6379	57.2%	39.9%	29979	22001	7093	73.4%	23.7%	13979	11418	2071	81.7%	14.8%
038	11798	10019	1338	84.9%	11.3%	34170	26340	6905	77.1%	20.2%	10167	3517	6372	34.6%	62.7%
039	6578	5797	596	88.1%	9.1%	39390	36055	1807	91.5%	4.6%	7515	6662	192	88.6%	2.6%
040	8229	6402	1057	77.8%	12.8%	37703	31176	5027	82.7%	13.3%	11950	10949	669	91.6%	5.6%
041	3802	3149	525	82.8%	13.8%	41290	34614	4872	83.8%	11.8%	31891	26966	3117	84.6%	9.8%
042	15936	13926	1512	87.4%	9.5%	30030	24727	3531	82.3%	11.8%	18308	14974	2010	81.8%	11.0%

EXHIBIT
 ADC Suppl.
 Ex. 4 A

Alabama House Districts
Population Changes by Major Racial Group from 2010 to 2012
Blocks Added, Removed, Remained the Same

DISTRICT	ADDED					SAME					REMOVED				
	POPA	WHTA	BLKA	WHTA%	BLKA%	POPS	WHTS	BLKS	WHTS%	BLKS%	POPR	WHTR	BLKR	WHTR%	BLKR%
043	283	258	12	91.2%	4.2%	44926	38565	2992	85.8%	6.7%	11130	9432	1134	84.7%	10.2%
044	14094	12238	1388	86.8%	9.8%	30994	26112	3786	84.2%	12.2%	17713	6304	10547	35.6%	59.5%
045	28532	24799	2439	86.9%	8.5%	16555	11145	4406	67.3%	26.6%	26335	14034	10875	53.3%	41.3%
046	6110	5733	242	93.8%	4.0%	39206	33819	3172	86.3%	8.1%	11192	8717	1322	77.9%	11.8%
047	5116	3024	1560	59.1%	30.5%	40462	27690	7615	68.4%	18.8%	7549	7094	185	94.0%	2.5%
048	7578	7123	185	94.0%	2.4%	38014	33482	2390	88.1%	6.3%	16033	12699	2275	79.2%	14.2%
049	15670	12563	2073	80.2%	13.2%	30207	24750	3855	81.9%	12.8%	21807	17748	2344	81.4%	10.7%
050	3254	2998	133	92.1%	4.1%	42707	37676	3733	88.2%	8.7%	12671	10741	1634	84.8%	12.9%
051	12611	11337	421	89.9%	3.3%	32462	29339	2230	90.4%	6.9%	16090	11537	4052	71.7%	25.2%
052	10604	6468	3238	61.0%	30.5%	34479	8340	23871	24.2%	69.2%	8680	6095	2073	70.2%	23.9%
053	45106	14468	25184	32.1%	55.8%						35378	12825	19704	36.3%	55.7%
054	20758	7890	11643	38.0%	56.1%	24312	9516	13969	39.1%	57.5%	10593	3854	5832	36.4%	55.1%
055	18821	5493	11649	29.2%	61.9%	26250	4197	21501	16.0%	81.9%	9322	4456	4661	47.8%	50.0%
056	9124	4980	3422	54.6%	37.5%	35947	9516	24586	26.5%	68.4%	5117	4008	927	78.3%	18.1%
057	15231	6862	8098	45.1%	53.2%	29840	6243	22761	20.9%	76.3%	6359	4110	2006	64.6%	31.5%
058	14137	4666	8982	33.0%	63.5%	30951	6124	23824	19.8%	77.0%	6492	976	5329	15.0%	82.1%
059	18403	3070	14303	16.7%	77.7%	26815	4976	20388	18.6%	76.0%	6023	3850	1624	63.9%	27.0%
060	8775	2220	6068	25.3%	69.2%	36309	10771	24446	29.7%	67.3%	395	93	297	23.5%	75.2%
061	12374	11044	971	89.3%	7.8%	32704	23861	7544	73.0%	23.1%	8718	3404	5046	39.0%	57.9%
062	3599	2627	683	73.0%	19.0%	42241	34539	6422	81.8%	15.2%	12781	6025	6351	47.1%	49.7%
063	7081	5778	879	81.6%	12.4%	38170	30937	5191	81.1%	13.6%	20903	10802	8863	51.7%	42.4%
064	22859	19472	2289	85.2%	10.0%	23113	17862	4248	77.3%	18.4%	17314	10043	6112	58.0%	35.3%
065	10182	6739	3255	66.2%	32.0%	34891	25457	7553	73.0%	21.6%	3790	828	2855	21.8%	75.3%
066	15676	11860	2447	75.7%	15.6%	30295	19714	9121	65.1%	30.1%	10196	7870	1916	77.2%	18.8%
067	7200	2124	4984	29.5%	69.2%	37878	11138	26188	29.4%	69.1%					
068	19802	7470	11890	37.7%	60.0%	25267	7622	17207	30.2%	68.1%	10967	5361	5456	48.9%	49.7%
069	16139	5731	9536	35.5%	59.1%	29338	9325	19665	31.8%	67.0%	8234	3683	4440	44.7%	53.9%
070	24161	9350	13604	38.7%	56.3%	21809	6074	14911	27.9%	68.4%	17444	7415	9359	42.5%	53.7%
071	24333	6702	17050	27.5%	70.1%	21015	7512	13287	35.7%	63.2%	17079	5545	11198	32.5%	65.6%
072	14853	4125	10422	27.8%	70.2%	30493	11271	18871	37.0%	61.9%	8921	3928	4856	44.0%	54.4%
073	45936	37925	4701	82.6%	10.2%						48266	21273	23380	44.1%	48.4%
074	14914	10388	3595	69.7%	24.1%	30732	21643	7597	70.4%	24.7%	10315	3984	4849	38.6%	47.0%
075	17481	10520	5801	60.2%	33.2%	28465	20191	6342	70.9%	22.3%	31675	19681	10265	62.1%	32.4%
076	22081	7410	12706	33.6%	57.5%	23891	1543	21219	6.5%	88.8%	21003	10322	10000	49.1%	47.6%
077	24748	9414	14288	38.0%	57.7%	21206	4046	16520	19.1%	77.9%	13792	4063	9211	29.5%	66.8%
078	29857	9218	18271	30.9%	61.2%	16100	1842	13896	11.4%	86.3%	14780	5004	9034	33.9%	61.1%
079	9861	8220	848	83.4%	8.6%	36111	28450	4495	78.8%	12.4%	16839	13202	2965	78.4%	17.6%
080	15	12	3	80.0%	20.0%	45949	36071	7896	78.5%	17.2%	8951	3583	4963	40.0%	55.4%
081	9679	7638	1683	78.9%	17.4%	36253	27461	7440	75.7%	20.5%	7550	3213	4060	42.6%	53.8%
082	13826	7379	5873	53.4%	42.5%	32032	8412	22623	26.3%	70.6%	11357	8367	2166	73.7%	19.1%
083	11700	5001	6188	42.7%	52.9%	34273	12210	20257	35.6%	59.1%	6766	3406	3102	50.3%	45.8%
084	5172	1682	3350	32.5%	64.8%	40797	17609	20716	43.2%	50.8%	520	312	195	60.0%	37.5%

Alabama House Districts
Population Changes by Major Racial Group from 2010 to 2012
Blocks Added, Removed, Remained the Same

DISTRICT	ADDED					SAME					REMOVED				
	POPA	WHTA	BLKA	WHTA%	BLKA%	POPS	WHTS	BLKS	WHTS%	BLKS%	POPR	WHTR	BLKR	WHTR%	BLKR%
085	6417	3390	2711	52.8%	42.2%	38812	17494	19940	45.1%	51.4%	3617	3082	400	85.2%	11.1%
086	4667	4141	369	88.7%	7.9%	41298	33933	5816	82.2%	14.1%	7773	5055	2370	65.0%	30.5%
087	2604	2246	236	86.3%	9.1%	43357	37555	3835	86.6%	8.8%	2942	2463	299	83.7%	10.2%
088	4603	3688	725	80.1%	15.8%	41363	31660	7655	76.5%	18.5%	15136	12969	1683	85.7%	11.1%
089	1437	1021	223	71.1%	15.5%	44241	27451	14396	62.0%	32.5%	8	8	0	100.0%	0.0%
090	8229	5400	2618	65.6%	31.8%	37583	23259	13255	61.9%	35.3%	2408	1411	957	58.6%	39.7%
						45070	33947	7088	75.3%	15.7%	4878	3383	1271	69.4%	26.1%
092	4662	3975	463	85.3%	9.9%	40439	34094	5238	84.3%	13.0%	67	50	15	74.6%	22.4%
093	1900	1412	330	74.3%	17.4%	44056	33782	7534	76.7%	17.1%	2685	1602	800	59.7%	29.8%
094	13817	11785	1128	85.3%	8.2%	32148	28181	2645	87.7%	8.2%	27615	24791	1611	89.8%	5.8%
						45971	40757	2271	88.7%	4.9%	15671	13423	1212	85.7%	7.7%
096	9211	7824	1132	84.9%	12.3%	36759	31690	3571	86.2%	9.7%	13439	10176	2651	75.7%	19.7%
097	9987	3801	5910	38.1%	59.2%	35084	12734	21429	36.3%	61.1%	322	269	47	83.5%	14.6%
098	16022	9341	6080	58.3%	37.9%	29047	7508	20969	25.8%	72.2%	8784	4849	3704	55.2%	42.2%
099	9853	6095	3329	61.9%	33.8%	35216	8066	26243	22.9%	74.5%	4575	1508	2943	33.0%	64.3%
100	412	335	63	81.3%	15.3%	44657	35082	6687	78.6%	15.0%	10831	9358	1068	86.4%	9.9%
101	12696	10672	1527	84.1%	12.0%	32393	23982	6147	74.0%	19.0%	10356	4696	4495	45.3%	43.4%
102	1031	850	133	82.4%	12.9%	44040	37398	3429	84.9%	7.8%	6820	3841	2711	56.3%	39.8%
103	8259	3399	3885	41.2%	47.0%	36816	9955	25441	27.0%	69.1%	3795	845	2842	22.3%	74.9%
104	4721	3617	840	76.6%	17.8%	40351	32005	6277	79.3%	15.6%	7099	5873	1007	82.7%	14.2%
105	5780	5219	398	90.3%	6.9%	39292	32091	3686	81.7%	9.4%	4987	3689	1032	74.0%	20.7%

Date: August 13, 2015

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
2010 Decennial Census
2012 Equivalency File

Note:

Each field includes "A, S, or R" to indicate the designation for "Added, Remained the Same, and Removed" population, respectively

Alabama Senate DistrictsPopulation Changes from 2010 to 2012
(Blocks Added, Remained the Same, Removed)

DISTRICT	ADDED					SAME					REMOVED				
	POPA	WHTA	BLKA	WHTA%	BLKA%	POPS	WHTS	BLKS	WHTS%	BLKS%	POPR	WHTR	BLKR	WHTR%	BLKR%
18	23747	10223	12562	43.0%	52.9%	111511	36717	67377	32.9%	60.4%	961	933	12	97.1%	1.2%
19	28558	17148	10748	60.0%	37.6%	106660	25581	77566	24.0%	72.7%	2505	1866	583	74.5%	23.3%
20	44594	25986	15713	58.3%	35.2%	90617	17748	69669	19.6%	76.9%	16758	2476	13885	14.8%	82.9%
23	38897	17950	20167	46.1%	51.8%	96441	27554	67587	28.6%	70.1%	15498	10109	4902	65.2%	31.6%
24	44940	20098	23331	44.7%	51.9%	92784	27054	63741	29.2%	68.7%	26048	14396	10858	55.3%	41.7%
26	35824	12002	21664	33.5%	60.5%	100627	14613	80856	14.5%	80.4%	20039	11966	6858	59.7%	34.2%
28	40434	12305	26321	30.4%	65.1%	97475	37275	56190	38.2%	57.6%	33893	21117	10778	62.3%	31.8%
30	34512	6914	26546	20.0%	76.9%	101702	28065	71041	27.6%	69.9%	10213	8199	1531	80.3%	15.0%

Date: Aug 13, 2015

Source: U.S. Census Bureau,
2010 Decennial Census
2012 Equivalency File