



Birch Horton Bittner & Cherot
a professional corporation

Holly C. Wells
Mara E. Michaletz
Zoe A. Danner

Respond to Anchorage Office
T 907.276.1550 • F 907.276.3680
hwells@bhb.com
mmichaletz@bhb.com
zdanner@bhb.com

March 24, 2022

Meredith Montgomery
Clerk of Appellate Courts
Alaska Supreme Court
303 K Street, #400
Anchorage, AK 99501

HAND DELIVERED
VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

RE: In the Matter of 2021 Redistricting Cases
Case No.: S-18332
East Anchorage Plaintiffs' Response to Notice of Supplemental Authority

Dear Ms. Montgomery:

Pursuant to Alaska R. App. P. 212(c)(12), Felisa Wilson, Yarrow Silvers, and George Martinez (collectively "East Anchorage Plaintiffs"), through undersigned legal counsel, hereby respond to the Notice of Supplemental Authority filed by the Alaska Redistricting Board (the "Board") on March 23, 2022. The supplemental authority, *Wisconsin Legislature, et al. v. Wisconsin Election Commission, et al.*,¹ is not "pertinent" to section I.F (pages 51-67) in East Anchorage Plaintiffs' Response to Petition for Review and thus does not comply with Appellate Rule 212(c)(12).

While Appellant Rule 212(c)(12) does not permit East Anchorage Plaintiffs to explain or argue the reasons why the supplemental authority is not pertinent in this response, East Anchorage Plaintiffs are prepared to do so if permitted or requested by the Court.

Sincerely,

BIRCH HORTON BITTNER & CHEROT

/s/ Holly C. Wells

Holly C. Wells
Mara E. Michaletz
Zoe A. Danner

HCW:zad

cc: Counsel of Record
(via electronic delivery)

¹ ___ S.Ct. ___, 2022 WL 851720 (March 23, 2022).