IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION Consolidated Civil Action

RALEIGH WAKE CITIZENS ASSOCIATION, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
V.)	No. 5:15-CV-156-D
WAKE COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS,)	
Defendant.)	
Defendant.		
CALLA WRIGHT, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	No. 5:13-CV-607-D
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA,))	
Defendant.)	

LEGISLATIVE LEADERS' NOTICE OF FILING

Speaker of the North Carolina House of Representatives, Tim Moore, and the President Pro Tem of the North Carolina Senate, Phil Berger (hereafter, "legislative leaders") hereby file the following exhibits requested by the Court in its August 2, 2016 hearing:

1. Exhibit 1 is an illustrative map for a seven district plan for electing members of the Wake County School Board and the Wake County Board of Commissioners.

- 2. Exhibit 2 is the standard stat pack that is attached to all plans considered by the North Carolina General Assembly. It includes population data, demographic data, and political data by district for the seven district plan for electing members of the Wake County School Board and the Wake County Board of Commissioners.
- 3. Exhibit 3 is an illustrative map for a two district plan for electing members of the Wake County School Board and the Wake County Board of Commissioners.
- 4. Exhibit 4 is the standard stat pack that is attached to all plans considered by the North Carolina General Assembly. It includes population data, demographic data, and political data by district for the two district plan for electing members of the Wake County School Board and the Wake County Board of Commissioners.

The legislative leaders have a USB flash drive in their possession that contains the bloc assignment files for the above-described exhibits. Because of their format, these files could not be filed electronically with the Court. As a result, the legislative leaders will be hand delivering a copy of the USB flash drive containing the block assignment files to the Clerk of Court and counsel for all parties.

While the legislative leaders will be delivering the bloc assignment files to the Court and counsel, all interested parties should be aware that these file may only be accessed through a software program known as Maptitude, or a similar GIS-based redistricting program. Should the Court so desire, the legislative leaders will make available to it a computer with these capabilities. At the Court's request, the legislative leaders can also assign a member of the General Assembly's non-partisan, professional staff to assist the Court in accessing and evaluating the information.

This, the 3rd day of August, 2016.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

By: /s/Thomas A. Farr Thomas A. Farr N.C. State Bar No. 10871 Phillip J. Strach N.C. State Bar No. 29456 Michael McKnight N.C. State Bar No. 36932 thomas.farr@ogletreedeakins.com phil.stach@ogletreedeakins.com michael.mcknight@ogletreedeakins.com 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609 Telephone: (919) 787-9700

Facsimile: (919) 783-9412

Counsel for Speaker Tim Moore and President

Pro Tem Phil Berger

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas A. Farr, hereby certify that I have this day filed the foregoing **NOTICE OF FILING** using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

Anita S. Earls Allison J. Riggs Clare R. Barnett Southern Coalition for Social Justice 1415 Hwy. 54, Suite 101 Durham, NC 27707

Charles F. Marshall, III
Jessica Thaller-Moran
Brooks Pierce McLendon Humphrey & Leonard, LLP
P. O. Box 1800
150 Fayetteville St.
1600 Wells Fargo Capitol Center
Raleigh, NC 27601
919-839-0300

Fax: 919-839-0304

Email: cmarshall@brookspierce.com Email: jthaller-moran@brookspierce.com

Matthew B. Tynan Brooks Pierce McLendon Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P. 2000 Renaissance Plaza 230 North Elm St. Greensboro, NC 27401 336-271-3171


Fax: 336-232-9171

Email: mtynan@brookspierce.com

This, the 3rd day of August, 2016.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

/s/ Thomas A. Farr Thomas A. Farr



District	2010 Pop	Ideal Pop	ldeal +/-	% +/-
1	128,713	128,713	0	0.00%
2	128,713	128,713	0	0.00%
3	128,713	128,713	0	0.00%
4	128,713	128,713	0	0.00%
5	128,714	128,713	1	0.00%
6	128,714	128,713	1	0.00%
7	128,713	128,713	0	0.00%

							Tota	al Popula	ition by R	ace									Total	Population	on by Eth	nnicity	
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	Total % Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp	White Non Hisp	% White Non Hisp
1	128,713	91,019	70.71%	25,223	19.60%	658	0.51%	3,023	2.35%	5,562	4.32%	3,228	2.51%	1,520	1.18%	26,743	20.78%	11,887	9.24%	116,826	90.76%	86,115	66.90%
2	128,713	109,451	85.03%	9,764	7.59%	325	0.25%	4,713	3.66%	2,198	1.71%	2,262	1.76%	872	0.68%	10,636	8.26%	5,864	4.56%	122,849	95.44%	106,289	82.58%
3	128,713	59,817	46.47%	46,989	36.51%	860	0.67%	5,108	3.97%	11,658	9.06%	4,281	3.33%	2,444	1.90%	49,433	38.41%	22,512	17.49%	106,201	82.51%	51,850	40.28%
4	128,713	54,413	42.27%	58,922	45.78%	682	0.53%	3,216	2.50%	8,443	6.56%	3,037	2.36%	1,816	1.41%	60,738	47.19%	15,681	12.18%	113,032	87.82%	49,085	38.14%
5	128,714	83,402	64.80%	20,554	15.97%	762	0.59%	13,581	10.55%	6,601	5.13%	3,814	2.96%	1,640	1.27%	22,194	17.24%	14,271	11.09%	114,443	88.91%	77,341	60.09%
6	128,714	102,383	79.54%	10,484	8.15%	609	0.47%	9,583	7.45%	3,000	2.33%	2,655	2.06%	955	0.74%	11,439	8.89%	8,278	6.43%	120,436	93.57%	97,904	76.06%
7	128,713	97,061	75.41%	14,574	11.32%	607	0.47%	9,716	7.55%	3,466	2.69%	3,289	2.56%	1,321	1.03%	15,895	12.35%	9,429	7.33%	119,284	92.67%	91,952	71.44%
Totals:	900,993	597,546	66.32%	186,510	20.70%	4,503	0.50%	48,940	5.43%	40,928	4.54%	22,566	2.50%	10,568	1.17%	197,078	21.87%	87,922	9.76%	813,071	90.24%	560,536	62.21%

							Voting	Age Pop	ulation b	y Race									Total	Population	on by Eth	nicity	
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	% Total Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp	White Non Hisp	% White Non Hisp
1	91,466	66,622	72.84%	17,527	19.16%	443	0.48%	2,097	2.29%	3,401	3.72%	1,376	1.50%	537	0.59%	18,064	19.75%	7,134	7.80%	84,332	92.20%	63,596	69.53%
2	96,786	83,147	85.91%	7,324	7.57%	225	0.23%	3,539	3.66%	1,455	1.50%	1,096	1.13%	361	0.37%	7,685	7.94%	3,881	4.01%	92,905	95.99%	81,029	83.72%
3	93,937	47,564	50.63%	32,360	34.45%	575	0.61%	3,871	4.12%	7,446	7.93%	2,121	2.26%	1,052	1.12%	33,412	35.57%	14,217	15.13%	79,720	84.87%	42,439	45.18%
4	101,564	48,189	47.45%	43,130	42.47%	500	0.49%	2,713	2.67%	5,192	5.11%	1,840	1.81%	952	0.94%	44,082	43.40%	9,853	9.70%	91,711	90.30%	44,650	43.96%
5	101,407	69,055	68.10%	15,060	14.85%	503	0.50%	10,234	10.09%	4,370	4.31%	2,185	2.15%	820	0.81%	15,880	15.66%	9,529	9.40%	91,878	90.60%	64,895	63.99%
6	94,066	76,284	81.10%	7,657	8.14%	407	0.43%	6,712	7.14%	1,849	1.97%	1,157	1.23%	354	0.38%	8,011	8.52%	5,040	5.36%	89,026	94.64%	73,519	78.16%
7	87,154	66,914	76.78%	10,138	11.63%	402	0.46%	6,348	7.28%	2,080	2.39%	1,272	1.46%	459	0.53%	10,597	12.16%	5,508	6.32%	81,646	93.68%	63,880	73.30%
Totals:	666.380	457,775	68.70%	133,196	19.99%	3.055	0.46%	35,514	5.33%	25,793	3.87%	11,047	1.66%	4,535	0.68%	137,731	20.67%	55,162	8.28%	611,218	91.72%	434,008	65.13%

									Registrati	on by Party	1							Registrat	ion by Race	Without I	Regard to
				Racial %s	among D's				Racial %s	among R's				Racial %s	among U's				Pai	rty	
			White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of					
District	VR Total	% D	D	D	NA % of D	D	% R	R	R	NA % of R	R	% U	U	U	NA % of U	U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	80,169	39.30%	52.27%	42.04%	0.29%	5.40%	35.69%	95.02%	1.61%	0.18%	3.19%	24.85%	80.66%	10.20%	0.27%	8.87%	0.16%	74.64%	19.63%	0.25%	5.48%
2	95,876	34.98%	78.78%	15.54%	0.16%	5.53%	37.89%	96.25%	0.58%	0.09%	3.07%	26.93%	86.35%	4.21%	0.12%	9.33%	0.20%	87.45%	6.79%	0.12%	5.64%
3	75,274	52.88%	34.58%	57.53%	0.28%	7.61%	22.02%	89.97%	4.19%	0.14%	5.70%	24.91%	63.85%	20.78%	0.28%	15.09%	0.19%	54.14%	36.54%	0.25%	9.07%
4	75,874	63.85%	26.68%	67.66%	0.25%	5.40%	13.12%	86.93%	7.77%	0.28%	5.01%	22.82%	57.68%	27.05%	0.30%	14.97%	0.20%	41.75%	50.43%	0.26%	7.55%
5	80,769	41.51%	62.48%	27.78%	0.32%	9.42%	26.13%	93.38%	1.28%	0.22%	5.12%	32.05%	75.75%	8.48%	0.23%	15.54%	0.30%	74.87%	14.60%	0.27%	10.26%
6	89,349	32.39%	72.59%	19.10%	0.23%	8.08%	37.01%	95.47%	0.78%	0.11%	3.64%	30.38%	83.38%	4.13%	0.21%	12.28%	0.21%	84.37%	7.73%	0.18%	7.71%
7	77,849	32.51%	62.70%	29.32%	0.34%	7.64%	37.16%	95.55%	0.96%	0.13%	3.36%	30.13%	82.59%	5.34%	0.20%	11.87%	0.21%	80.94%	11.51%	0.22%	7.33%
Totals:	575.160	41.92%	52.85%	39.99%	0.26%	6.90%	30.35%	94.31%	1.69%	0.15%	3.85%	27.52%	77.03%	10.28%	0.22%	12.47%	0.21%	72.15%	20.11%	0.22%	7.52%


			Voter Re	gistration b	y Gender						Voter F	Registration	by Age						Voter Re	gistration by	Ethnicity		
District	Total	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Une	des.	Total	18-25	18-25 %	26-40	26-40 %	41-65	41-65 %	66+	66+ %	Total	Hispanic	Hisp %	Non-Hisp	Non H %	Undesign.	Undes. %
1	80,140	36,743	45.85%	42,865	53.49%	532	0.66%	80,144	7,825	9.76%	23,587	29.43%	39,127	48.82%	9,605	11.99%	80,142	1,362	1.70%	64,628	80.64%	14,152	17.66%
2	95,937	45,132	47.04%	50,333	52.46%	472	0.49%	95,929	9,102	9.49%	25,014	26.07%	48,131	50.17%	13,682	14.26%	95,938	996	1.04%	81,024	84.45%	13,918	14.51%
3	75,071	32,742	43.61%	41,617	55.44%	712	0.95%	75,107	8,179	10.90%	26,906	35.84%	31,780	42.33%	8,242	10.98%	75,068	2,091	2.79%	55,785	74.31%	17,192	22.90%
4	76,019	34,064	44.81%	41,006	53.94%	949	1.25%	75,991	15,369	20.22%	25,805	33.95%	26,626	35.03%	8,191	10.77%	76,023	1,343	1.77%	49,717	65.40%	24,963	32.84%
5	80,751	37,248	46.13%	42,834	53.04%	669	0.83%	80,751	9,989	12.37%	30,731	38.06%	30,723	38.05%	9,308	11.53%	80,746	1,611	2.00%	59,978	74.28%	19,157	23.73%
6	89,324	42,535	47.62%	46,363	51.90%	426	0.48%	89,333	9,148	10.24%	21,774	24.38%	47,655	53.35%	10,756	12.04%	89,325	1,208	1.35%	71,961	80.56%	16,156	18.09%
7	77,918	36,594	46.96%	40,921	52.52%	403	0.52%	77,905	6,908	8.87%	25,487	32.71%	38,232	49.07%	7,278	9.34%	77,918	1,380	1.77%	60,986	78.27%	15,552	19.96%
Totals:	575.160	265,058	46.08%	305,939	53.19%	4,163	0.72%	575.160	66,520	11.57%	179.304	31.17%	262.274	45.60%	67.062	11.66%	575,160	9,991	1.74%	444.079	77.21%	121.090	21.05%

	200	04 Auditor C	ampbell-Mei	ritt		2004 G	overnor Eas	ley-Ballantin	e-Howe				2004 F	President Ke	rry-Bush-Ba	adnarik					2004	US Senate B	owles-Burr	-Bailey		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %
1	11,561	42.75%	15,484	57.25%	14,516	51.68%	13,189	46.96%	381	1.36%	10,612	37.98%	17,217	61.63%	96	0.34%	13	0.05%	11,545	41.76%	15,755	56.98%	348	1.26%	0	0.00%
2	20,889	44.47%	26,085	55.53%	26,378	53.53%	22,224	45.10%	672	1.36%	19,624	39.82%	29,437	59.73%	185	0.38%	37	0.08%	21,111	43.38%	27,058	55.60%	500	1.03%	0	0.00%
3	17,142	59.86%	11,494	40.14%	18,878	63.71%	10,199	34.42%	552	1.86%	16,254	55.19%	13,036	44.26%	140	0.48%	20	0.07%	16,925	58.04%	11,797	40.45%	440	1.51%	0	0.00%
4	21,165	75.77%	6,770	24.23%	22,056	76.42%	6,261	21.69%	545	1.89%	20,691	72.11%	7,829	27.29%	129	0.45%	44	0.15%	21,150	74.26%	6,993	24.55%	337	1.18%	0	0.00%
5	16,669	54.60%	13,862	45.40%	19,805	61.42%	11,728	36.37%	714	2.21%	16,688	51.71%	15,353	47.57%	199	0.62%	35	0.11%	17,141	53.94%	14,043	44.19%	595	1.87%	0	0.00%
6	16,614	42.11%	22,840	57.89%	21,693	51.97%	19,373	46.41%	676	1.62%	16,097	38.54%	25,430	60.88%	210	0.50%	32	0.08%	17,031	41.39%	23,487	57.08%	629	1.53%	0	0.00%
7	11,827	41.64%	16,574	58.36%	15,193	50.75%	14,284	47.71%	462	1.54%	11,211	37.50%	18,542	62.02%	122	0.41%	23	0.08%	11,965	40.56%	17,110	58.00%	423	1.43%	0	0.00%
Totals:	115,867	50.60%	113,109	49.40%	138,519	57.77%	97,258	40.56%	4,002	1.67%	111,177	46.46%	126,844	53.00%	1,081	0.45%	204	0.09%	116,868	49.44%	116,243	49.18%	3,272	1.38%	0	0.00%

	20	008 A. G. Co	oper-Crumle	∍y	200	8 Comm. Ag	Ansley-Tro	oxler	2008 (Comm. of La	bor Donnan	-Berry	200	8 State Audi	tor Wood-M	errit			2008 Co	nm. of Insur	ance Goodv	vin-Odom			2008 \$	Super.of P.I.	Atkinson-N	Vorgan
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %
1	36,548	61.57%	22,812	38.43%	24,409	41.59%	34,277	58.41%	25,703	44.10%	32,585	55.90%	27,022	46.31%	31,331	53.69%	27,575	47.31%	28,501	48.90%	2,178	3.74%	33	0.06%	29,706	51.08%	28,447	48.92%
2	47,092	62.27%	28,534	37.73%	27,236	36.74%	46,891	63.26%	29,786	40.35%	44,030	59.65%	31,620	42.90%	42,087	57.10%	33,118	44.80%	38,103	51.55%	2,655	3.59%	43	0.06%	37,238	50.65%	36,276	49.35%
3	42,578	76.39%	13,160	23.61%	33,539	60.96%	21,479	39.04%	34,363	62.61%	20,517	37.39%	35,944	65.55%	18,887	34.45%	35,777	64.99%	17,266	31.36%	1,981	3.60%	27	0.05%	37,758	69.00%	16,967	31.00%
4	47,021	85.24%	8,145	14.76%	40,872	74.78%	13,787	25.22%	41,640	76.28%	12,945	23.72%	42,453	78.09%	11,908	21.91%	42,704	78.23%	10,007	18.33%	1,833	3.36%	44	0.08%	44,151	81.16%	10,252	18.84%
5	40,620	70.89%	16,682	29.11%	29,139	51.76%	27,154	48.24%	30,767	54.79%	25,389	45.21%	32,187	57.50%	23,792	42.50%	32,706	58.22%	20,563	36.61%	2,871	5.11%	34	0.06%	35,343	63.22%	20,561	36.78%
6	40,925	60.60%	26,604	39.40%	24,887	37.51%	41,456	62.49%	26,946	40.77%	39,154	59.23%	28,936	43.94%	36,923	56.06%	29,835	45.30%	32,964	50.05%	3,012	4.57%	46	0.07%	32,702	49.69%	33,110	50.31%
7	33,595	58.96%	23,384	41.04%	21,991	39.25%	34,035	60.75%	23,244	41.57%	32,670	58.43%	25,127	45.08%	30,610	54.92%	25,022	44.86%	27,687	49.64%	3,021	5.42%	43	0.08%	27,209	48.86%	28,476	51.14%
Totals:	288,379	67.43%	139,321	32.57%	202,073	47.98%	219,079	52.02%	212,449	50.61%	207,290	49.39%	223,289	53.31%	195,538	46.69%	226,737	54.03%	175,091	41.72%	17,551	4.18%	270	0.06%	244,107	58.37%	174,089	41.63%

		2008 Lt. G	overnor Da	Iton-Pittenge	er-Rhodes			2008 G	overnor Pero	due-McCrory	-Munger				2008	President Ob	ama-McCai	n-Barr				20	008 US Sena	ite Hagan-Do	ole				2008 Stra	ight Party		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib%
1	27,919	47.03%	29,617	49.89%	1,833	3.09%	27,790	45.89%	30,840	50.93%	1,929	3.19%	29,386	48.13%	31,063	50.88%	414	0.68%	188	0.31%	29,632	48.90%	29,181	48.15%	1,790	2.95%	15,537	51.59%	14,388	47.77%	193	0.64%
2	33,676	44.70%	39,087	51.88%	2,577	3.42%	31,784	41.10%	42,616	55.10%	2,937	3.80%	37,594	48.19%	39,698	50.88%	495	0.63%	232	0.30%	37,000	47.77%	38,466	49.66%	1,987	2.57%	15,310	46.59%	17,329	52.73%	223	0.68%
3	36,271	65.00%	17,698	31.72%	1,830	3.28%	35,700	62.86%	19,170	33.75%	1,922	3.38%	38,771	67.69%	18,008	31.44%	312	0.54%	185	0.32%	37,934	66.73%	17,298	30.43%	1,611	2.83%	22,719	73.21%	8,087	26.06%	226	0.73%
4	43,174	78.23%	10,330	18.72%	1,683	3.05%	42,201	75.02%	12,234	21.75%	1,817	3.23%	46,156	81.03%	10,356	18.18%	296	0.52%	153	0.27%	44,661	79.32%	10,322	18.33%	1,319	2.34%	30,237	86.53%	4,492	12.86%	213	0.61%
5	32,972	57.52%	21,810	38.05%	2,542	4.43%	32,062	54.47%	23,959	40.70%	2,840	4.82%	36,955	61.96%	21,974	36.85%	443	0.74%	267	0.45%	35,676	60.45%	21,256	36.02%	2,084	3.53%	18,130	64.69%	9,614	34.31%	281	1.00%
6	29,741	44.08%	35,206	52.18%	2,521	3.74%	29,059	41.90%	37,699	54.36%	2,598	3.75%	33,578	47.96%	35,681	50.96%	535	0.76%	220	0.31%	33,095	47.65%	34,107	49.10%	2,258	3.25%	14,304	46.76%	16,041	52.44%	244	0.80%
7	25,082	43.92%	29,732	52.06%	2,293	4.02%	25,436	43.40%	30,726	52.43%	2,443	4.17%	28,451	47.99%	30,221	50.98%	436	0.74%	177	0.30%	27,776	47.33%	28,798	49.08%	2,107	3.59%	13,079	48.07%	13,919	51.15%	213	0.78%
Totals:	228,835	53.52%	183,480	42.91%	15,279	3.57%	224,032	51.18%	197,244	45.06%	16,486	3.77%	250,891	56.73%	187,001	42.28%	2,931	0.66%	1,422	0.32%	245,774	56.07%	179,428	40.93%	13,156	3.00%	129,316	60.21%	83,870	39.05%	1,593	0.74%

			2010 Stra	ight Party				201	0 US Senate	e Marshall-B	Burr	
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %
1	8,788	43.88%	11,166	55.75%	73	0.36%	15,750	40.62%	22,134	57.08%	893	2.30%
2	8,886	38.58%	14,045	60.98%	103	0.45%	21,230	39.44%	31,348	58.24%	1,245	2.31%
3	13,104	68.02%	6,073	31.52%	88	0.46%	21,256	61.83%	12,373	35.99%	747	2.17%
4	15,879	84.50%	2,840	15.11%	73	0.39%	24,100	77.97%	6,265	20.27%	544	1.76%
5	9,250	56.90%	6,906	42.48%	101	0.62%	18,495	53.29%	15,158	43.68%	1,052	3.03%
6	8,507	39.47%	12,947	60.07%	98	0.45%	18,283	39.33%	27,065	58.22%	1,140	2.45%
7	7,455	40.86%	10,699	58.64%	90	0.49%	14,210	38.40%	21,789	58.88%	1,005	2.72%
Totals:	71,869	52.39%	64,676	47.15%	626	0.46%	133,324	48.29%	136,132	49.31%	6,626	2.40%



Data Source: 2010 Census

District	2010 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	450,497	450,497	0	0.00%
2	450,496	450,497	-1	0.00%

							Tota	al Popula	tion by R	ace									Total	Population	on by Eth	nicity	
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	Total % Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp		% White Non Hisp
1	450,497	249,676	55.42%	132,320	29.37%	2,520	0.56%	25,252	5.61%	28,341	6.29%	12,388	2.75%	6,407	1.42%	138,727	30.79%	56,226	12.48%	394,271	87.52%	228,535	50.73%
2	450,496	347,870	77.22%	54,190	12.03%	1,983	0.44%	23,688	5.26%	12,587	2.79%	10,178	2.26%	4,161	0.92%	58,351	12.95%	31,696	7.04%	418,800	92.96%	332,001	73.70%
			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
											•												
Totals:	900,993	597,546	66.32%	186,510	20.70%	4,503	0.50%	48,940	5.43%	40,928	4.54%	22,566	2.50%	10,568	1.17%	197,078	21.87%	87,922	9.76%	813,071	90.24%	560,536	62.21%

666,380

457,775

68.70%

133,196

19.99%

3,055

0.46%

35,514

5.33%

25,793

Totals:

65.13%

							Voting	Age Pop	ulation b	y Race									Total	Population	on by Eth	nicity	
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	% Total Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp	White Non Hisp	% White Non Hisp
1	346,442	205,591	59.34%	94,949	27.41%	1,730	0.50%	19,234	5.55%	18,129	5.23%	6,809	1.97%	3,056	0.88%	98,005	28.29%	36,196	10.45%	310,246	89.55%	191,504	55.28%
2	319,938	252,184	78.82%	38,247	11.95%	1,325	0.41%	16,280	5.09%	7,664	2.40%	4,238	1.32%	1,479	0.46%	39,726	12.42%	18,966	5.93%	300,972	94.07%	242,504	75.80%
			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

11,047

1.66%

4,535

0.68%

137,731

20.67%

55,162

8.28%

611,218

91.72%

434,008

3.87%

Totals:

575,160 41.92%

52.85%

39.99%

0.26%

6.90%

30.35%

94.31%

1.69%

0.22%

7.52%

20.11%

	_								Registration	on by Party	1							Registrati	on by Race	Without F	≀egard to
				Racial %s	among D's				Racial %s	among R's				Racial %s	among U's				Pai	rty	
			White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of					
District	VR Total	% D	D	D	NA % of D	D	% R	R	R	NA % of R	R	% U	υ	U	NA % of U	U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	278,712	50.31%	44.09%	48.57%	0.27%	7.07%	22.72%	92.17%	2.92%	0.17%	4.74%	26.73%	69.99%	15.30%	0.25%	14.46%	0.23%	62.02%	29.21%	0.24%	8.53%
2	296,448	34.02%	65.02%	28.05%	0.26%	6.66%	37.53%	95.53%	0.99%	0.13%	3.35%	28.26%	83.29%	5.81%	0.20%	10.70%	0.19%	81.68%	11.56%	0.19%	6.57%

3.85%

27.52%

77.03%

0.15%

0.22%

10.28%

12.47%

0.21%

72.15%

575,160 265,058 46.08%

305,939 53.19%

4,163

0.72%

575,160

66,520

11.57%

Totals:

121,090 21.05%

			Voter Re	gistration b	y Gender						Voter F	Registration	by Age						Voter Reg	gistration by	Ethnicity		
District	Total	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Und	des.	Total	18-25	18-25 %	26-40	26-40 %	41-65	41-65 %	66+	66+ %	Total	Hispanic	Hisp %	Non-Hisp	Non H %	Undesign.	Undes. %
1	278,779	125,794	45.12%	150,389	53.95%	2,596	0.93%	278,757	37,849	13.58%	98,240	35.24%	110,202	39.53%	32,466	11.65%	278,773	5,650	2.03%	203,773	73.10%	69,350	24.88%
2	296,381	139,264	46.99%	155,550	52.48%	1,567	0.53%	296,403	28,671	9.67%	81,064	27.35%	152,072	51.31%	34,596	11.67%	296,387	4,341	1.46%	240,306	81.08%	51,740	17.46%
	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

179,304 31.17%

262,274 45.60%

67,062

11.66%

575,160

9,991

1.74%

444,079 77.21%

	200	04 Auditor Ca	ampbell-Mer	ritt		2004 G	vernor Eas	ley-Ballantin	e-Howe				2004 F	resident Ke	ry-Bush-Ba	adnarik					2004 l	JS Senate B	owles-Burr-	Bailey		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %
1	66,305	60.34%	43,572	39.66%	74,412	64.91%	38,034	33.18%	2,191	1.91%	64,316	56.25%	49,342	43.15%	570	0.50%	119	0.10%	66,664	58.98%	44,732	39.58%	1,630	1.44%	0	0.00%
2	49,562	41.61%	69,537	58.39%	64,107	51.23%	59,224	47.33%	1,811	1.45%	46,861	37.50%	77,502	62.02%	511	0.41%	85	0.07%	50,204	40.70%	71,511	57.97%	1,642	1.33%	0	0.00%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Election data	is estimated si	ince it is collect	ted at the preci	nct level and d	istricts are cre	ated at the cer	nsus block leve	el.																		
Totals:	115,867	50.60%	113,109	49.40%	138,519	57.77%	97,258	40.56%	4,002	1.67%	111,177	46.46%	126,844	53.00%	1,081	0.45%	204	0.09%	116,868	49.44%	116,243	49.18%	3,272	1.38%	0	0.00%

	20	008 A. G. Co	oper-Crumle	∍y	200	8 Comm. Ag	Ansley-Tro	xler	2008 0	Comm. of La	bor Donnan	-Berry	200	8 State Audi	tor Wood-M	errit			2008 Con	nm. of Insur	ance Goody	vin-Odom			2008 5	Super.of P.I.	Atkinson-M	lorgan
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %
1	154,982	75.64%	49,908	24.36%	118,365	58.62%	83,567	41.38%	122,983	61.04%	78,505	38.96%	127,660	63.55%	73,227	36.45%	129,185	64.05%	64,378	31.92%	8,009	3.97%	127	0.06%	137,231	68.38%	63,454	31.62%
2	133,397	59.87%	89,413	40.13%	83,708	38.18%	135,512	61.82%	89,466	40.99%	128,785	59.01%	95,629	43.88%	122,311	56.12%	97,552	44.76%	110,713	50.80%	9,542	4.38%	143	0.07%	106,876	49.14%	110,635	50.86%
	•	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	·	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	•	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	•	0.00%		0.00%	·	0.00%

270

0.06% 244,107 58.37% 174,089 41.63%

Totals: 288,379 67.43% 139,321 32.57% 202,073 47.98% 219,079 52.02% 212,449 50.61% 207,290 49.39% 223,289 53.31% 195,538 46.69% 226,737 54.03% 175,091 41.72% 17,551 4.18%

		2008 Lt. G	overnor Da	Iton-Pittenge	er-Rhodes			2008 Go	vernor Pero	due-McCrory	-Munger				2008	President Ob	ama-McCai	n-Barr				20	008 US Sena	te Hagan-Do	ole				2008 Stra	ight Party		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib%
1	130,724	63.82%	66,794	32.61%	7,322	3.57%	127,180	60.73%	74,233	35.45%	7,997	3.82%	142,138	67.12%	67,612	31.93%	1,294	0.61%	722	0.34%	138,040	65.81%	65,672	31.31%	6,031	2.88%	79,485	72.63%	29,125	26.61%	834	0.76%
2	98,111	44.04%	116,686	52.38%	7,957	3.57%	96,852	42.41%	123,011	53.87%	8,489	3.72%	108,753	47.19%	119,389	51.80%	1,637	0.71%	700	0.30%	107,734	47.12%	113,756	49.76%	7,125	3.12%	49,831	47.31%	54,745	51.97%	759	0.72%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

			2010 Stra	ight Party				201	0 US Senate	e Marshall-B	Burr	
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %
1	43,015	66.43%	21,431	33.10%	310	0.48%	75,171	59.94%	47,327	37.74%	2,910	2.32%
2	28,854	39.85%	43,245	59.72%	316	0.44%	58,153	38.60%	88,805	58.94%	3,716	2.47%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%

Totals:	71,869	52.39%	64,676	47.15%	626	0.46%	133,324	48.29%	136,132	49.31%	6,626	2.40%