

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN DIVISION

ALABAMA LEGISLATIVE	)	
BLACK CAUCUS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-691
v.	)	(Three-Judge Court)
	)	
THE STATE OF ALABAMA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	
	)	
ALABAMA DEMOCRATIC	)	
CONFERENCE, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-1081
v.	)	(Three-Judge Court)
	)	
THE STATE OF ALABAMA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

The parties are directed to file responses to the following requests for information, as well as the documents or evidence requested, by August 19. If a party cannot produce the documents requested, the party should explain why and whether the obstacles to production can be overcome with additional time.

1. The precinct lines for Montgomery County in Alabama's Supp. Ex. 9 differ from the plaintiffs' exhibits. The parties are directed to stipulate to the reason for this difference and provide a corrected map, if necessary.
2. Alabama is directed to inform the court whether the Montgomery County Commission lines in Alabama's Supp. Ex. 10 are accurate as of the time of redistricting. If the map is accurate, Alabama is directed to produce an overlay map of the County Commission lines with Senate District 26 that includes legible road names, highway names, etc.
3. The parties are directed to produce a map for each majority-black district showing all the precinct lines in that district, including precinct names. The Caucus plaintiffs submitted individual maps of each split precinct, but no maps that show the relation of all the precincts in and on the borders of a given district.
4. The parties are directed to produce a list of incumbent conflicts for the alternative redistricting plans admitted in evidence, similar to the lists provided by the Caucus plaintiffs in their supplemental exhibits APSX 34 and APSX 43.
5. The parties are directed to produce four maps of the House District incumbent locations for districts that are part of Madison, Jefferson, Montgomery, and Mobile Counties. These maps should be similar to the statewide maps provided by Alabama, Def. Supp. Exs. 2 and 5, but with greater detail.

6. The parties are directed to produce a list of the representatives and senators, their party affiliations and race at the time of redistricting, and a list of the representatives and senators, their party affiliations and race after the November 2014 election.

7. The Conference plaintiffs provided data on the numbers of black and white populations added and removed from each majority-black district. ADC Supp. Exs. 4,

5. These numbers do not line up with Alabama's submitted statistics. For instance, in Senate District 26, Alabama submitted evidence that the net increase in white population was 36 people. *Compare* SDX 400 at 3 *with* SDX 402 at 1. But, according to the Conference plaintiffs' supplemental exhibit, there were 11,473 whites added and 11,837 whites removed from Senate District 26, which is a net decrease. The parties are directed to stipulate to the correct population figures with respect to each majority-black district.

DONE this 13th day of August, 2015.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.  
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE  
PRESIDING

/s/ W. Keith Watkins  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
JUDGE

/s/ Myron H. Thompson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE