

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CITY OF SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 20-CV-05167-RRC-LHK-EMC

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 20-CV-05169-RRC-LHK-EMC

**ORDER DISMISSING CASES FOR
LACK OF JURISDICTION**

Before: RICHARD R. CLIFTON, United States Circuit Judge
LUCY H. KOH, United States District Judge
EDWARD M. CHEN, United States District Judge

PER CURIAM.

On October 22, 2020, we granted Plaintiffs' motion for partial summary judgment and

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1 denied Defendants’ motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, motion for partial summary judgment.
2 *City of San Jose v. Trump*, 20-cv-05167, ECF No. 101; *State of California v. Trump*, 20-cv-05169,
3 ECF No. 82. The same day, final judgment was entered for Plaintiffs and against Defendants. *City*
4 *of San Jose*, ECF No. 102; *State of California*, ECF No. 83.

5 On January 4, 2021, we received a certified copy of the United States Supreme Court’s
6 December 28, 2020 order in the above captioned cases. *City of San Jose*, ECF No. 112; *State of*
7 *California*, ECF No. 93. The Supreme Court has vacated the judgments and remanded the cases
8 “with instructions to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction.” *City of San Jose*, ECF No. 112 at 1; *State of*
9 *California*, ECF No. 93 at 1.

10 Accordingly, we dismiss the above captioned cases for lack of jurisdiction.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12

13 Dated: January 7, 2021

14

_____/s/_____
RICHARD R. CLIFTON
United States Circuit Judge

15

16

17

_____/s/_____
LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge

18

19

20

_____/s/_____
EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28