

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
GALVESTON DIVISION

TERRY PETTEWAY, THE
HONORABLE DERRECK ROSE,
MICHAEL MONTEZ, SONNY
JAMES and PENNY POPE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GALVESTON, TEXAS, and
HONORABLE MARK HENRY, in
his official capacity as Galveston
County Judge,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-57

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

GALVESTON, TEXAS,
GALVESTON COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS COURT, and
HONORABLE MARK HENRY, in
his official capacity as Galveston
County Judge,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-93

Dickinson Bay Area Branch NAACP,
GALVESTON BRANCH NAACP,
MAINLAND BRANCH NAACP,
GALVESTON LULAC COUNCIL
151, EDNA COURVILLE, JOE A.
COMPIAN, and LEON PHILLIPS,

Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-117

<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§
	§
v.	§
	§
GALVESTON, TEXAS,	§
HONORABLE MARK HENRY, in	§
his official capacity as Galveston	§
County Judge, and DWIGHT D.	§
SULLIVAN, in his official capacity as	§
Galveston County Clerk	§
	§
<i>Defendants.</i>	§
	§

**PLAINTIFFS’ OPPOSED MOTION TO REQUEST SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES**

Plaintiffs Terry Petteway, Derreck Rose, Michael Montez, Sonny James, and Penny Pope (“Petteway Plaintiffs”) respectfully request that this Court set a scheduling conference for the above-captioned cases (“Consolidated Cases”). On June 1, 2022, this Court ordered that the above-captioned cases be consolidated. *See* Doc. 45. Accordingly, this Court cancelled the scheduling conference in the lead case, *Petteway v. Galveston County*, Doc. 44, and closed the docket on the other cases. As such, the Petteway Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court schedule an initial conference for the Consolidated Cases for the week of July 18, 2022.

The Petteway Plaintiffs have conferred with all Parties regarding this Motion. Consolidated Plaintiffs do not oppose this Motion. Consolidated Defendants oppose this Motion. Therefore, Petteway Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court set a scheduling conference for the week of July 18, 2022.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of June, 2022.

/s/ Chad W. Dunn

Mark P. Gaber*
Simone Leeper*
Valencia Richardson*
Orion de Nevers**
Campaign Legal Center
1101 14th St. NW, Ste. 400
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 736-2200
mgaber@campaignlegal.org
cjackson@campaignlegal.org
sleeper@campaignlegal.org
vrichardson@campaignlegal.org
odenevers@campaignlegal.org

Sonni Waknin*
Bernadette Reyes*
UCLA Voting Rights Project
3250 Public Affairs Building
Los Angeles, CA 90095
Telephone: 310-400-6019
sonni@uclavrp.org

**admitted pro hac vice*

***admitted pro hac vice; licensed to
practice in CA only, supervised by Mark
Gaber, a member of the D.C. bar*

Counsel for Petteway Plaintiffs

Chad W. Dunn (Tex. Bar No. 24036507)
Brazil & Dunn
4407 Bee Cave Road
Building 1, Ste. 111
Austin, TX 78746
(512) 717-9822
chad@brazilanddunn.com

Neil G. Baron
Law Office of Neil G. Baron
1010 E Main Street, Ste. A
League City, TX 77573
(281) 534-2748
neil@ngbaronlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 14, 2022 and June 28, 2022, counsel for Petteway Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for the NAACP Plaintiffs and United States Plaintiff, who indicated that they do not oppose this Motion. On June 23, 2022 and June 28, 2022, counsel for Petteway Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for Defendants, who indicated that they oppose this Motion.

/s/ Chad W. Dunn
Chad W. Dunn
Counsel for Petteway Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 28, 2022, the foregoing document was filed electronically (via CM/ECF), and that all counsel of record were served by CM/ECF.

/s/ Chad W. Dunn
Chad W. Dunn
Counsel for Petteway Plaintiffs

<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§
	§
v.	§
	§
GALVESTON, TEXAS,	§
HONORABLE MARK HENRY, in	§
his official capacity as Galveston	§
County Judge, and DWIGHT D.	§
SULLIVAN, in his official capacity as	§
Galveston County Clerk	§
	§
<i>Defendants.</i>	§
	§

[PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

Upon consideration of *Petteway v. Galveston County* Plaintiffs’ Motion to Request Scheduling Conference., and finding it just and appropriate to be granted, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs’ Motion to Request Scheduling Conference.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the parties appear for an initial pretrial and scheduling conference at the following time and place: _____.

The Court additionally **ORDERS** the parties to refer to the Court’s Order for Conference and Disclosure of Interested Parties, ECF 9, for further instructions regarding the Court’s pretrial and initial scheduling conference.

SIGNED this _____ day of June, 2022.

JEFFREY VINCENT BROWN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE