

No. 22-50407  
c/w No. 22-50648

---

---

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, et al.,  
*Intervenor Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
v.  
GREG ABBOTT,  
*Defendant,*  
RYAN GUILLEN, TEXAS HOUSE MEMBER, et al.,  
*Movants-Appellants.*

---

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, et al.,  
*Intervenor Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
v.  
GREG ABBOTT,  
*Defendant,*  
TODD HUNTER, TEXAS HOUSE MEMBER, et al.,  
*Movants-Appellants.*

---

---

**APPELLANTS' STATUS REPORT**

Pursuant to this Court's June 17, 2022 order, Appellants submit this monthly status report apprising the Court of the status of the district court proceedings.

1. These appeals arise from ongoing redistricting litigation. They have been consolidated and held in abeyance pending further rulings from the district court.

2. As previously reported, Texas legislators and legislative staff appealed after the district court ordered them to sit for depositions and answer all questions regarding the legislative process, over their legislative immunity and privilege objections. The district court's orders stated that legislatively privileged testimony would remain under seal while Plaintiffs filed motions to contest the privileged nature of the testimony. Order 4-5, ECF 282; Order, ECF 340; Order, ECF 409.<sup>1</sup>

3. A panel of this Court denied the legislators' motion for a stay pending appeal, the depositions proceeded, and this appeal was held in abeyance.<sup>2</sup>

4. As the district court's orders anticipated, Plaintiffs deposed Texas legislators and legislative staff and then filed various motions to use legislatively privileged testimony given in the depositions. Those motions remain pending, with deposition transcripts before the district court for its *in camera* review. U.S. 1st Mot. to Compel,

---

<sup>1</sup> All references to ECF numbers in this status report refer to the entries in the district court's docket in *LULAC v. Abbott*, No. 3:21-cv-259 (W.D. Tex.), unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>2</sup> There is another related appeal regarding an order compelling production of legislators' documents that arises from the same redistricting litigation. *See LULAC v. Patrick*, No. 22-50662. The Fifth Circuit stayed that order, pending the Fifth Circuit's decision in *LULAC Texas v. Hughes*, No. 22-50435. Order, *Patrick*, No. 22-50662, ECF 30. Discussed below, *Hughes* has now been decided, 68 F.4th 228 (5th Cir. 2023), and in light of *Hughes*, the legislators have asked the Fifth Circuit to summarily reverse and vacate, or alternatively vacate and remand, the order appealed from in *Patrick*. Status Report ¶5, *Patrick*, No. 22-50662, ECF 100.

ECF 520; U.S. 2d Mot., ECF 538; Pls. 1st Mot., ECF 521; Pls. 2d Mot., ECF 539; U.S. 3d Mot., ECF 598; LULAC Pls. Joinder, ECF 601; U.S. 4th Mot., ECF 635; LULAC Pls. Mot., ECF 637; Legislators' Resp., ECF 551; Legislators' Resp., ECF 609; Legislators' Resp., ECF 643; U.S. Reply, ECF 565; Pls. Reply, ECF 568; U.S. Reply, ECF 615; U.S. Reply, ECF 656; LULAC Pls. Reply, ECF 662.

5. As discussed in Appellants' June Status Report, this Court recently issued two published opinions relevant to the legislative privilege issues at issue in this appeal and in the related motions still pending in the district court. *See LULAC Texas v. Hughes*, 68 F.4th 228 (5th Cir. 2023); *Jackson Mun. Airport Auth. v. Harkins*, 67 F.4th 678 (5th Cir. 2023). In *Hughes*, this Court confirmed that legislators may “invoke legislative privilege to protect actions that occurred within the sphere of legitimate legislative activity or within the regular course of the legislative process.” 68 F.4th at 235 (quotation marks omitted). The court rejected that “the legislative privilege must yield” to plaintiffs' Voting Rights Act claims in that case. *Id.* at 237-38 (cleaned up); *see also Harkins*, 67 F.4th at 687 (explaining legislative privilege applies to communications with third parties outside the legislature if those communications are still within the sphere of legitimate legislative activity).

6. In the district court, in light of *Hughes* and *Harkins*, the United States and Plaintiffs submitted their supplemental briefs concerning all pending discovery motions, many relating to the depositions at issue in this appeal. *See* U.S. Supp. Br., ECF

706; Pls. Supp. Br., ECF 707; *see also* Order 1-2, ECF 703. The legislators' supplemental brief is due on July 28, 2023, in the district court.

7. The Court could continue to hold this appeal in abeyance pending the district courts' resolution of the pending motions regarding privileged deposition testimony, which relate to the orders compelling depositions that are the subject of these consolidated appeals. The district court's resolution of those pending motions, informed by *Hughes* and the forthcoming supplemental briefing, could narrow the issues for appeal or potentially resolve these consolidated appeals.

8. In the alternative, Appellants continue to assert that, in light of *Hughes*, this Court could summarily vacate the orders that compelled depositions, to be preceded by supplemental briefing if the Court deems necessary. *See* Appellants' June Status Report ¶8; *see Hughes*, 68 F.4th at 238 (“[C]ourts are not to facilitate an expedition seeking to uncover a legislator’s subjective intent in drafting, supporting, or opposing proposed or enacted legislation.”).

9. Appellants request that this Court continue to hold these appeals in abeyance or in the alternative vacate the orders that compelled depositions, to be preceded by supplemental briefing if the Court deems necessary.

10. Appellants will file another monthly status report no later than August 9, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 10, 2023

/s/ Frank H. Chang  
TAYLOR A.R. MEEHAN  
FRANK H. CHANG  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
1600 Wilson Blvd., Suite 700  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
(703) 243-9423  
taylor@consovoymccarthy.com  
frank@consovoymccarthy.com

ADAM K. MORTARA  
LAWFAIR LLC  
125 South Wacker, Suite 300  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(773) 750-7154  
mortara@lawfairllc.com

*Counsel for Legislators,  
Movants-Appellants*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I filed the foregoing with the Court via ECF, which will electronically notify all parties who have appeared in this case. The document has been scanned and is free of viruses.

Dated July 10, 2023

/s/ Frank H. Chang

Frank H. Chang

*Counsel for Legislators,  
Movants-Appellants*