

The Order of the Court is stated below:

Dated: September 05, 2024  
06:24:57 PM

/s/ DIANNA GIBSON  
District Court Judge



<p>Victoria Ashby (12248) Robert H. Rees (4125) Eric N. Weeks (7340) Michael Curtis (15115) Office Of Legislative Research and General Counsel Utah State Capitol Complex, House Building, Suite W210 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5210 Telephone: 801-538-1032 vashby@le.utah.gov rrees@le.utah.gov eweeks@le.utah.gov</p>	<p>Tyler R. Green (10660) Consovoy McCarthy PLLC 222 S. Main Street, 5th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84101 (703) 243-9423 tyler@consovoymccarthy.com</p> <p>Taylor A.R. Meehan (pro hac vice) Frank H. Chang (pro hac vice) Consovoy McCarthy PLLC 1600 Wilson Blvd. Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22209 (703) 243-9423 taylor@consovoymccarthy.com frank@consovoymccarthy.com</p>
<i>Counsel for Legislative Defendants</i>	

<b>IN THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR SALT LAKE COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH</b>	
<p>LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF UTAH, MORMON WOMEN FOR ETHICAL GOVERNMENT, STEFANIE CONDIE, MALCOLM REID, VICTORIA REID, WENDY MARTIN, ELEANOR SUNDWALL, JACK MARKMAN, and DALE COX, Plaintiffs,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>UTAH STATE LEGISLATURE; UTAH LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE; SENATOR SCOTT SANDALL, in his official capacity; REPRESENTATIVE BRAD WILSON, in his official capacity; SENATOR J. STUART ADAMS, in his official capacity; and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR DEIDRE HENDERSON, in her official capacity,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>ORDER GRANTING LEGISLATIVE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case No.: 220901712</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Honorable Dianna M. Gibson</p>

The Legislative Defendants filed a motion requesting thirty-seven additional days to respond to Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and the first amended complaint. Plaintiffs oppose, asserting time is of the essence given the timeline necessary to create and implement new electoral district maps. Having considered the parties' positions, the court finds good cause to grant the Legislative Defendants' request. The Utah Supreme Court's decision created a new legal framework that the Legislative Defendants' must analyze and apply. The pending motion is potentially dispositive of the entire case. And the Legislative Defendants have only one opportunity to provide a written response.

For these reasons, the motion is **GRANTED**. The Legislative Defendants shall respond to Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and first amended complaint **on or before October 18, 2024**.

The court will contact the parties to schedule oral argument on Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment.

**END OF ORDER**

**Entered as indicated by the signature above**